

**Form of order sought**

The applicants claim that the Court should:

- Annul the decision of the Single Resolution Board agreed of 7 June 2017 adopting the resolution scheme regarding the institution Banco Popular Español, S.A.

**Pleas in law and main arguments**

The pleas in law and main arguments are similar to those put forward in Cases T-478/17, *Mutualidad de la Abogacía and Hermandad Nacional de Arquitectos Superiores y Químicos v Single Resolution Board*, T-481/17, *Fundación Tatiana Pérez de Guzmán el Bueno and SFL v Single Resolution Board*, T-482/17, *Comercial Vascongada Recalde v Commission and Single Resolution Board*, T-483/17, *García Suárez and Others v Commission and Single Resolution Board*, T-484/17, *Fidesban and Others v Single Resolution Board*, T-497/17, *Sánchez del Valle and Calatrava Real State 2015 v Commission and Single Resolution Board*, and T-498/17, *Pablo Álvarez de Linera Granda v Commission and Single Resolution Board*.

---

**Action brought on 7 August 2017 — Elevelé Invest Group and Others v Commission and SRB**

(Case T-523/17)

(2017/C 374/57)

Language of the case: Spanish

**Parties**

*Applicants:* Elevelé Invest Group S.L. (Madrid, Spain) and 19 other applicants (represented by: B. Cremades Román, F. Orts Castro, J. López Useros, S. Cajal Martín and P. Marrodán Lázaro, lawyers)

*Defendants:* European Commission and Single Resolution Board

**Form of order sought**

The applicants claim that the General Court should:

- Annul Decision SRB/EES/2017/08 of the Single Resolution Board (SRB) and Commission Decision (EU) 2017/1246, both adopted on 7 June 2017;
- Order SRB and the European Commission to pay damages to the applicants on grounds of non-contractual liability;
- Declare the invalidity of the valuation carried out by SRB's independent expert and, following the calculation of the net value of the assets of Banco Popular, order SRB and the European Commission to pay compensation to the applicants;
- Order SRB and the European Commission to pay the costs of the present proceedings.

**Pleas in law and main arguments**

The pleas in law and main arguments are similar to those put forward in Cases T-478/17, *Mutualidad de la Abogacía and Hermandad Nacional de Arquitectos Superiores y Químicos v Single Resolution Board*, T-481/17, *Fundación Tatiana Pérez de Guzmán el Bueno and SFL v Single Resolution Board*, T-482/17, *Comercial Vascongada Recalde v Commission and Single Resolution Board*, T-483/17, *García Suárez and Others v Commission and Single Resolution Board*, T-484/17, *Fidesban and Others v Single Resolution Board*, T-497/17, *Sánchez del Valle and Calatrava Real State 2015 v Commission and Single Resolution Board*, and T-498/17, *Pablo Álvarez de Linera Granda v Commission and Single Resolution Board*.

---