

**Form of order sought**

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision;
- order EUIPO and Uni-Pharma, if the latter makes use of its right to join the proceedings as an intervener, to pay the costs.

**Plea in law**

- Infringement of Articles 8(1)(b), 8(4) and 8(5) of Regulation No 207/2009.

---

**Action brought on 4 May 2017 — Uponor Innovation v EUIPO — Swep International (SMATRIX)****(Case T-264/17)**

(2017/C 221/49)

*Language in which the application was lodged: English***Parties**

*Applicant:* Uponor Innovation AB (Borås, Sweden) (represented by: A. Kylhammar, lawyer)

*Defendant:* European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

*Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal:* Swep International AB (Landskrona, Sweden)

**Details of the proceedings before EUIPO**

*Applicant:* Applicant

*Trade mark at issue:* EU word mark ‘SMATRIX’ — Application for registration No 12 540 431

*Procedure before EUIPO:* Opposition proceedings

*Contested decision:* Decision of the Second Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 1 March 2017 in Case R 236/2016-2

**Form of order sought**

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision;
- order EUIPO to pay the costs;
- order SWEP International to compensate the Applicant for the costs before the Opposition Division and the Board of Appeal.

**Plea in law**

- Infringement of Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation No 207/2009.

---

**Action brought on 8 May 2017 — Quadri di Cardano v Commission****(Case T-273/17)**

(2017/C 221/50)

*Language of the case: French***Parties**

*Applicant:* Alessandro Quadri di Cardano (Schaerbeek, Belgium) (represented by: N. De Montigny and J.-N. Louis, lawyers)