

**Action brought on 16 September 2016 — LG Electronics v EUIPO (Second Display)****(Case T-659/16)**

(2016/C 402/70)

*Language of the case: English***Parties***Applicant:* LG Electronics, Inc. (Seoul, South Korea) (represented by: T. de Haan and P. Péters, lawyers)*Defendant:* European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)**Details of the proceedings before EUIPO***Trade mark at issue:* EU word mark 'Second Display' — Application for registration No 14 362 248*Contested decision:* Decision of the First Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 10 June 2016 in Case R 106/2016-1**Form of order sought**

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision;
- order EUIPO to pay the costs, including those incurred by the applicant before the Office's First Board of Appeal.

**Plea in law**

- Infringement of Article 7(1)(b) and (c) of Regulation No 207/2009 read in conjunction with Article 75 of Regulation No 207/2009.

---

**Action brought on 19 September 2016 — Credito Fondiario v CRU****(Case T-661/16)**

(2016/C 402/71)

*Language of the case: Italian***Parties***Applicant* Credito Fondiario SpA (Rome, Italy) (represented by: F. Sciaudone, F. Iacovone, S. Frazzani and A. Neri, lawyers)*Defendant:* Comitato di risoluzione unico**Form of order sought**

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the first and second decision of the Single Resolution Board;
- declare Article 5(1)(f) of Delegated Regulation (EU) No 2015/63, on which the contested decisions are based, incompatible with the principles of equal treatment, proportionality and legal certainty recognised by the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union;
- declare Annex I to Delegated Regulation (EU) No 2015/63, on which the contested decisions are based, incompatible with the principles of equal treatment, proportionality and legal certainty recognised by the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union;