

Defendant: Single Resolution Board (SRB)

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the decision of the Single Resolution Board, apparently of 15 April 2016, at least in so far as that decision concerns the applicant;
- order the defendant to pay the costs of the proceedings.

Pleas in law and main arguments

In support of the action, the applicant relies on two pleas in law.

1. First plea in law: flagrant breach of essential procedural requirements by reason of a lack of (full) disclosure of the contested decision.
2. Second plea in law: flagrant breach of essential procedural requirements by reason of an inadequate statement of reasons for the contested decision.

**Action brought on 13 September 2016 — Şölen Çikolata Gıda Sanayi ve Ticaret v EUIPO —
Zaharieva (BOBO cornet)**

(Case T-648/16)

(2016/C 402/66)

Language in which the application was lodged: English

Parties

Applicant: Şölen Çikolata Gıda Sanayi ve Ticaret AŞ (Şehitkamil Gaziantep, Turkey) (represented by: T. Tsenova, lawyer)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal: Elka Zaharieva (Plovdiv, Bulgaria)

Details of the proceedings before EUIPO

Applicant: Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal

Trade mark at issue: Figurative mark containing the word elements 'BOBO cornet'– Application for registration No 12 299 343

Procedure before EUIPO: Opposition proceedings

Contested decision: Decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 20 July 2016 in Case R 906/2015-4

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision;
- order EUIPO and the other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal to pay the costs.

Pleas in law

- Infringement of Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation No 207/2009;
 - Infringement of Articles 75 and 76(1) of Regulation No 207/2009.
-