

- As regards the persistence test, the applicant puts forward that, in requiring the applicant to carry out the persistence test in both freshwater and marine water in order to allegedly clarify a potential risk of persistence of Triclosan in the environment, ECHA and the Board of Appeal failed to pay due regard both to the weight-of-evidence concerning the persistence of Triclosan, and the requirement to consider environmentally relevant conditions as set forth under Annex XIII. The applicant further claims that, by requiring the applicant to carry out the persistence test in pelagic water (i.e., clear water without sediment), ECHA and the Board of Appeal also failed to respect the clear directive in Annex XIII REACH to consider the evidence that reflects environmentally 'relevant' conditions. Moreover, so the applicant claims, having decided that the derogation simulation test need to reflect environmentally relevant conditions, both ECHA and the Board of Appeal then failed to exercise the appropriate expert judgment to identify the appropriate test conditions.

⁽¹⁾ Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 18 December 2006 concerning the Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals (REACH), establishing a European Chemicals Agency, amending Directive 1999/45/EC and repealing Council Regulation (EEC) No 793/93 and Commission Regulation (EC) No 1488/94 as well as Council Directive 76/769/EEC and Commission Directives 91/155/EEC, 93/67/EEC, 93/105/EC and 2000/21/EC (OJ 2006, L 396, p. 1)

Order of the General Court of 10 February 2017 — Tarmac Trading v Commission

(Case T-267/16) ⁽¹⁾

(2017/C 112/68)

Language of the case: English

The President of the Third Chamber has ordered that the case be removed from the register.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 287, 8.8.2016.

Order of the General Court of 3 February 2017 — Bank Saderat Iran v Council

(Case T-349/16) ⁽¹⁾

(2017/C 112/69)

Language of the case: English

The President of the First Chamber has ordered that the case be removed from the register.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 305, 22.8.2016.

Order of the General Court of 14 February 2017 — HP v Commission and eu-LISA

(Case T-596/16) ⁽¹⁾

(2017/C 112/70)

Language of the case: English

The President of the Fifth Chamber has ordered that the case be removed from the register.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 296, 16.8.2016 (case initially registered before the European Union Civil Service Tribunal under number F-26/16 and transferred to the General Court of the European Union on 1 September 2016).