

# GENERAL COURT

## Judgment of the General Court of 9 June 2021 — Uniwersytet Wrocławski v REA

(Case T-137/16 RENV) <sup>(1)</sup>

**(Arbitration clause — Seventh Framework Programme for research, technological development and demonstration activities (2007-2013) — Eligible costs — Reimbursement of sums paid)**

(2021/C 297/32)

Language of the case: Polish

### Parties

**Applicant:** Uniwersytet Wrocławski (Wrocław, Poland) (represented by: A. Krawczyk-Giehsman and K. Szarek, lawyers)

**Defendant:** European Research Executive Agency (represented by: S. Payan-Lagrou and V. Canetti, acting as Agents and M. Le Berre and G. Materna, lawyers)

### Re:

Application based on Article 272 TFEU seeking, first, annulment of the decisions of the REA, acting under powers delegated by the European Commission, to terminate the Grant Agreement for the Cossar project (No 252908) and requiring the applicant to repay the sums of EUR 36 508,37, EUR 58 031,38 and EUR 6 286,68, as well as to pay damages in the amount of EUR 5 803,14 and, second, reimbursement by the REA of the corresponding sums plus interest calculated as from the day of payment until the day of reimbursement.

### Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. Dismisses the action;
2. Orders Uniwersytet Wrocławski to pay the costs relating, first, to the proceedings brought before the General Court and, second, to the appeal proceedings before the Court of Justice.

---

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 200, 6.6.2016.

## Judgment of the General Court of 9 June 2021 — Puma and Others v Commission

(Case T-781/16) <sup>(1)</sup>

**(Dumping — Imports of footwear with uppers of leather originating in China and Vietnam — Implementation of the judgment of the Court of Justice in Joined Cases C-659/13 and C-34/14 — Reimposition of a definitive anti-dumping duty and definitive collection of the provisional duty — Resumption of the proceeding which preceded the regulations declared invalid — Market economy treatment (MET) — Individual treatment (IT) — Desk analysis — Absence of a verification visit or a request for additional information — Non-reimbursement of anti-dumping duties — Legal basis — Legal certainty — Legitimate expectations — Non-retroactivity — Proportionality — Misuse of powers — Non-discrimination — Previous decision-making practice)**

(2021/C 297/33)

Language of the case: English

### Parties

**Applicants:** Puma SE (Herzogenaurach, Germany) and the eight other applicants whose names are set out in the annex to the judgment (represented by: E. Vermulst and J. Cornelis, lawyers)