

Other party: European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

By order of 1 December 2016 the Court of Justice (Tenth Chamber) held that the appeal was inadmissible.

Appeal brought on 15 July 2016 by Market Watch Franchise & Consulting Inc. against the judgment of the General Court (Fourth Chamber) delivered on 13 May 2016 in Case T-312/15: Market Watch v EUIPO — GLAXO GROUP (MITOCHRON)

(Case C-402/16 P)

(2017/C 095/05)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Appellant: Market Watch Franchise & Consulting Inc. (represented by: J. Korab, Rechtsanwalt)

Other party to the proceedings: European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

By order of 1 December 2016 the Court of Justice (Tenth Chamber) held that the appeal was inadmissible.

Appeal brought on 22 July 2016 by Syndial SpA — Attività Diversificate against the order of the General Court (Sixth Chamber) delivered on 25 May 2016 in Case T-581/15, Syndial SpA v European Commission

(Case C-410/16 P)

(2017/C 095/06)

Language of the case: Italian

Parties

Appellant: Syndial SpA (represented by: L. Acquarone and S. Grassi, lawyers)

Other party to the proceedings: European Commission

By order of 9 February 2017, the Court of Justice (Ninth Chamber) dismissed the appeal and ordered Syndial SpA — Attività Diversificate to bear its own costs.

Appeal brought on 4 August 2016 by Staywell Hospitality Group Pty Ltd against the judgment of the General Court (Ninth Chamber) delivered on 2 June 2016 in Cases T-510/14 and T-536/14: STAYWELL HOSPITALITY GROUP AND SHERATON INTERNATIONAL IP v EUIPO — SHERATON INTERNATIONAL IP AND STAYWELL HOSPITALITY GROUP (PARK REGIS)

(Case C-440/16 P)

(2017/C 095/07)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Appellant: Staywell Hospitality Group Pty Ltd (represented by: D. Farnsworth, solicitor)

Other parties to the proceedings: European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO), Sheraton International IP LLC

By order of 12 January 2017 the Court of Justice (Ninth Chamber) held that the appeal was inadmissible.

Action brought on 27 July 2016 — Mauro Infante v Italian Republic

(Case C-469/16)

(2017/C 095/08)

Language of the case: Italian

Parties

Applicant: Mauro Infante (represented by: M. Iervolino, lawyer)

Defendant: Italian Republic

By order of 13 December 2016, the Court of Justice (Tenth Chamber) held that the Court of Justice of the European Union clearly lacks jurisdiction with regard to the application and that Mr Mauro is to bear his own costs.

Appeal brought on 7 September 2016 by Universal Protein Supplements Corp. against the judgment of the General Court (Fourth Chamber) delivered on 29 June 2016 in Cases T-727/14 and T-728/14: UNIVERSAL PROTEIN SUPPLEMENTS v EUIPO — H YOUNG HOLDINGS (ANIMAL)

(Case C-485/16 P)

(2017/C 095/09)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Appellant: Universal Protein Supplements Corp. (represented by: S. Malynicz QC)

Other parties to the proceedings: European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO), H Young Holdings plc

By order of 31 January 2017 the Court of Justice (Eighth Chamber) held that the appeal was inadmissible.

Request for a preliminary ruling from the Bundesfinanzgericht (Austria) lodged on 5 December 2016 — Kreuzmayr GmbH

(Case C-628/16)

(2017/C 095/10)

Language of the case: German

Referring court

Bundesfinanzgericht

Parties to the main proceedings

Applicant: Kreuzmayr GmbH