

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Second Board of Appeal of OHIM of 11 December 2014 (Case R 1708/2014-2) relating to an application for registration of the figurative sign 3D as a Community trade mark.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. Dismisses the action;
2. Orders Olympus Medical Systems Corp. to pay the costs.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 118, 13.4.2015.

Order of the General Court of December 2015 — Italy v Commission

(Case T-673/14) ⁽¹⁾

(Action for annulment — State aid — Transport — Establishment of Airport Handling SpA — Decision to open the formal examination procedure provided for in Article 108(2) TFEU — Measure not open to challenge — Aid measures completely implemented by the date on which the action was brought — Inadmissibility)

(2016/C 059/19)

Language of the case: Italian

Parties

Applicant: Italian Republic (represented by: G. Palmieri, acting as Agent, and S. Fiorentino and A. De Stefano, avvocati dello Stato)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: G. Conte and S. Noë, acting as Agents)

Re:

Application for annulment of Commission Decision C(2014) 4537 final of 9 July 2014 to initiate a formal investigation procedure under Article 108(2) TFEU concerning the establishment of the company Airport Handling (State Aid SA.21420 (2014/C) (ex 20 14/NN) (ex 20 13/PN)).

Operative part of the order

- 1) The application is dismissed as inadmissible.
- 2) The Italian Republic is ordered to pay the costs.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 409, 17.11.2014.

Order of the General Court of 10 December 2015 — Cofely Solelec and Others v Parliament

(Case T-224/15) ⁽¹⁾

(Actions for annulment — Public service contracts — Tender procedure — Rejection of a tenderer's bid — Withdrawal of the contested act — Case not proceeding to judgment)

(2016/C 059/20)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicants: Cofely Solelec (Esch-sur-Alzette, Luxembourg), Mannelli & Associés SA (Bertrange, Luxembourg) and Cofely Fabricom (Brussels, Belgium) (represented: initially by V. Elvinger and S. Marx, and subsequently by S. Marx, lawyers)

Defendant: European Parliament (represented by: L. Chrétien and M. Mraz, acting as Agents)

Re:

Application for the annulment of Decision No 103299 of 27 April 2015 of the Directorate General for Infrastructures and Logistics of the European Parliament rejecting the applicants' bid for lot 75 'electricity — power' in respect of the public procurement procedure INLO-D-UPIL-T-14-A04 concerning the project to extend and modernise the Konrad Adenauer Building in Luxembourg (Luxembourg) and awarding the contract to another tenderer.

Operative part of the order

1. *There is no longer any need to adjudicate on the present action.*
2. *The European Parliament is to bear its own costs and is to pay those incurred by Cofely Solelec, Mannelli & Associés SA and Cofely Fabricom.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 205, 22.6.2015.

Order of the President of the General Court of 17 December 2015 — Lysoform Dr. Hans Rosemann and Others v ECHA

(Case T-543/15 R)

(Application for interim measures — REACH — Making available on the market and use of biocidal products — Inclusion of a company as a supplier of an active substance on the list referred to in Article 95 of Regulation (EU) No 528/2012 — Application for suspension of operation — Lack of urgency)

(2016/C 059/21)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicants: Lysoform Dr. Hans Rosemann GmbH (Berlin, Germany); Ecolab Deutschland GmbH (Monheim am Rhein, Germany); Schülke & Mayr GmbH (Norderstedt, Germany); and Diversey Europe Operations BV (Utrecht, Netherlands) (represented by: K. Van Maldegem, M. Grunchard, lawyers, and P. Sellar, Advocate)

Defendant: European Chemicals Agency (ECHA) (represented by: C. Buchanan and W. Broere, acting as Agents)

Re:

Application for suspension of operation of the decision of ECHA of 17 June 2015 to include company O. as a supplier of an active substance on the list referred to in Article 95(1) of Regulation (EU) No 528/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 22 May 2012 concerning the making available on the market and use of biocidal products (OJ 2012 L 167, p. 1).

Operative part of the order

1. *The application for interim measures is dismissed.*
 2. *Costs are reserved.*
-