

**Re:**

Appeal lodged against the judgment of the European Union Civil Service Tribunal (First Chamber) of 21 April 2015, *Alsteens v Commission* (F-87/12 RENV, EU:F:2015:31), seeking to have that judgment set aside.

**Operative part of the judgment**

*The Court:*

1. Sets aside the judgment of the European Union Civil Service Tribunal (First Chamber) of 21 April 2015, *Alsteens v Commission* (F-87/12 RENV, EU:F:2015:31) insofar as the Civil Service Tribunal dismissed the first and third pleas in law seeking annulment raised at first instance and the claim for damages;
2. Rejects the plea of inadmissibility raised by the Commission before the Civil Service Tribunal;
3. Annuls the decision of the European Commission of 18 November 2011, insofar as it restricts the duration of the extension of Mr Geoffroy Alsteens' temporary contract to 31 March 2012;
4. Refers the action back to a Chamber of the General Court other than that which has ruled in the present appeal for a ruling on the claims for damages put forward by Mr Alsteens;
5. Reserves the costs.

---

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 279, 24.8.2015.

---

**Judgment of the General Court of 24 November 2016 — CG v EUIPO — Perry Ellis International Group (P PRO PLAYER)**

(Case T-349/15) <sup>(1)</sup>

**(EU trade mark — Opposition proceedings — Application for EU figurative mark P PRO PLAYER — Earlier EU and national figurative marks P and P PROTECTIVE — Relative ground for refusal — No likelihood of confusion — Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009)**

(2017/C 014/37)

Language of the case: English

**Parties**

*Applicant:* CG Verwaltungsgesellschaft mbH (Gevelsberg, Germany) (represented by: T. Körber, lawyer)

*Defendant:* European Union Intellectual Property Office (represented by: D. Stoyanova-Valchanova and M. Fischer, Agents)

*Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of EUIPO, intervening before the General Court:* Perry Ellis International Group Holdings Ltd (Nassau, Bahamas) (represented by: O. Günzel and V. Ahmann, lawyers)

**Re:**

Action brought against the decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 28 April 2015 (Case R 2439/2014-4), relating to opposition proceedings between Perry Ellis International Group Holdings and CG Verwaltungsgesellschaft.

**Operative part of the judgment**

*The Court:*

1. Dismisses the action;

2. Orders CG Verwaltungsgesellschaft mbH to bear its own costs and to pay the costs incurred by the European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO) and by Perry Ellis International Group Holdings Ltd.

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 294, 7.9.2015.

---

**Judgment of the General Court of 24 November 2016 — SeNaPro v EUIPO — Paltentaler Splitt & Marmorwerke (Dolokorn)**

(Case T-769/15) <sup>(1)</sup>

**(EU trade mark — Opposition proceedings — Application for EU word mark Dolokorn — Earlier EU word mark DOLOPUR — Relative ground for refusal — Likelihood of confusion — Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009)**

(2017/C 014/38)

Language of the case: German

**Parties**

*Applicant:* SeNaPro GmbH (Pommelsbrunn, Germany) (represented by: A. Schröder, lawyer)

*Defendant:* European Union Intellectual Property Office (represented by: E. Strittmatter and A. Folliard–Monguiral, acting as Agents)

*Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of EUIPO, intervening before the General Court:* Paltentaler Splitt & Marmorwerke GmbH (Rottenmann, Austria) (represented by: C. Ofner, lawyer)

**Re:**

Action brought against the decision of the First Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 23 October 2015 (Case R 2643/2014-1), relating to opposition proceedings between Paltentaler Splitt & Marmorwerke and SeNaPro.

**Operative part of the judgment**

*The Court:*

1. Dismisses the action;
2. Orders SeNaPro GmbH to pay the costs.

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 78, 29.2.2016.

---

**Order of the Court of 8 November 2016 — Apcoa Parking Holdings v EUIPO (PARKWAY)**

(Joined Cases T-268/15 and T-272/15) <sup>(1)</sup>

**(European Union trade mark — Applications for European Union figurative and word marks PARKWAY — Absolute ground for refusal — Descriptive character — Article 7(1)(c) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009 — Action manifestly lacking any foundation in law)**

(2017/C 014/39)

Language of the Case: German

**Parties**

*Applicant:* Apcoa Parking Holdings GmbH (Stuttgart, Germany) (represented by: A. Lohmann, lawyer)