- 2. Dismisses the action as to the remainder;
- 3. Orders the European Commission to bear its own costs and to pay those incurred by BASF Agro BV and the other applicants whose names appear in the annex, as well as those incurred by the Association européenne pour la protection des cultures (ECPA) and the European Seed Association (ESA);
- 4. Orders Deutscher Berufs- und Erwerbsimkerbund eV, Österreichischer Erwerbsimkerbund and Österreichischer Imkerbund (ÖIB) to bear their own costs.
- (1) OJ C 9, 11.1.2014.

Judgment of the General Court of 8 May 2018 — Esso Raffinage v ECHA

(Case T-283/15) (1)

(REACH — Dossier evaluation — Compliance check of registrations — Check of information submitted and follow-up to dossier evaluation — Statement of non-compliance — Jurisdiction of the General Court — Actions for annulment — Challengeable act — Direct and individual concern — Admissibility — Legal basis — Articles 41, 42 and 126 of Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006)

(2018/C 231/23)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: Esso Raffinage (Courbevoie (France)) (represented by: M. Navin-Jones, solicitor)

Defendants: European Chemicals Agency (ECHA) (represented by: C. Jacquet, C. Schultheiss, W. Broere and M. Heikkilä, acting as Agents)

Interveners in support of the defendant: Federal Republic of Germany (represented by: T. Henze, acting as Agent), French Republic (represented by: D. Colas and J. Traband, acting as Agents), and Kingdom of the Netherlands (represented by M. de Ree, M. Bulterman and M. Noort, acting as Agents)

Re:

Application pursuant to Article 263 TFEU seeking the annulment of the letter from ECHA of 1 April 2015 addressed to the French Ministère de l'ecologie du developement durable, des transports et du logement (Ministry of Ecology, Sustainable Development, Transport and Housing) and entitled 'Statement of Non-Compliance following a Dossier Evaluation Decision under Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006'.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. Annuls the letter of the European Chemicals Agency (ECHA) of 1 April 2015 addressed to the French Ministère de l'ecologie du developement durable, des transports et du logement (Ministry of Ecology, Sustainable Development, Transport and Housing) and entitled 'Statement of Non-Compliance following a Dossier Evaluation Decision under Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006' and the annex thereto;

- 2. Orders Esso Raffinage and ECHA each to bear their own costs;
- 3. Orders the Federal Republic of Germany, The French Republic and the Kingdom of the Netherlands each to bear their own costs.
- (1) OJ C 320, 28.9.2015.

Judgment of the General Court of 17 May 2018 — Lithuania v Commission

(Case T-205/16) (1)

(Cohesion Fund — Expenditure excluded from financing — Technical support for Cohesion Fund management in Lithuania — VAT — Article 11(1) and (3) of Regulation (EC) No 16/2003 — Reduction of financial assistance)

(2018/C 231/24)

Language of the case: Lithuanian

Parties

Applicant: Republic of Lithuania (represented by: D. Kriaučiūnas, R. Krasuckaitė and D. Stepanienė, acting as Agents)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: B.-R. Killmann and J. Jokubauskaitė, acting as Agents)

Re:

Application based on Article 263 TFEU and seeking annulment of Commission Decision C(2016) 969 final of 23 February 2016, concerning the reduction in support from the Cohesion Fund for the project 'Technical assistance for Cohesion Fund management in the Republic of Lithuania', in so far as that decision provides for a reduction in support in the amount of EUR 137 864,61 corresponding to VAT expenses.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

- 1. Annuls Commission Decision C(2016) 969 final of 23 February 2016, concerning the reduction in support from the Cohesion Fund for the project 'Technical assistance for Cohesion Fund management in the Republic of Lithuania', in so far as that decision provides for a reduction in support in the amount of EUR 137 864,61 corresponding to VAT expenses;
- 2. Orders the European Commission to bear its own costs and to pay those incurred by the Republic of Lithuania.

(1) OJ C 251, 11.7.2016.

Judgment of the General Court of 16 May 2018 — Troszczynski v Parliament

(Case T-626/16) (1)

(Rules governing the payment of expenses and allowances to Members of the European Parliament — Parliamentary assistance allowance — Recovery of sums unduly paid — Power of the Secretary-General — Electa una via — Rights of the defence — Burden of proof — Obligation to state reasons — Political rights — Equal treatment — Misuse of power — Independence of the Members — Error of fact — Proportionality)

(2018/C 231/25)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: Mylène Troszczynski (Noyon, France) (represented initially by: M. Ceccaldi, and subsequently by F. Wagner, lawyers)