

**Judgment of the General Court of 13 December 2016 — European Dynamics Luxembourg and
Evropaiki Dynamiki v Commission**

(Case T-764/14) ⁽¹⁾

(Public service contracts — Call for tender procedure — Technical assistance, development and implementation of a computerised system for the ASEAN customs transit system (ACTS) — Rejection of a tenderer's bid — Award of the contract to another tenderer — Selection criteria — Award criteria — Obligation to state reasons — Manifest error of assessment — Equal treatment — Openness)

(2017/C 030/46)

Language of the case: Greek

Parties

Applicants: European Dynamics Luxembourg SA (Luxembourg, Luxembourg) and Evropaiki Dynamiki — Proigmena Sistimata Tilepikoinonion Pliroforikis kai Tilematikis AE (Athens, Greece) (represented initially by: M. Sfyri and I. Ampazis, lawyers, and subsequently by: M. Sfyri)

Defendant: European Commission (represented initially by: S. Bartelt and A. Marcoulli, and subsequently by: S. Bartelt and M. Konstantinidis, acting as Agents)

Re:

Application on the basis of Article 263 TFEU seeking the annulment of the decision of the Commission of 5 September 2014 rejecting the bid submitted by the applicants in restricted call for tenders procedure EuropeAid/135040/C/SER/MULTI concerning the development of a computerised management system for the ASEAN customs transit system and awarding that contract to another tenderer.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. Dismisses the action;
2. Orders European Dynamics Luxembourg SA and Evropaiki Dynamiki — Proigmena Sistimata Tilepikoinonion Pliroforikis kai Tilematikis AE to pay the costs.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 26, 26.1.2015.

Judgment of the General Court of 13 December 2016 — Printeos and Others v Commission

(Case T-95/15) ⁽¹⁾

(Competition — Agreements, decisions and concerted practices — European stock/catalogue and special printed envelopes market — Decision establishing an infringement of Article 101 TFEU — Coordination of sales prices and allocation of customers — Settlement procedure — Fines — Basic amount — Exceptional adjustment — Maximum of 10 % of total turnover — Article 23(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1/2003 — Obligation to state reasons — Equal treatment)

(2017/C 030/47)

Language of the case: Spanish

Parties

Applicants: Printeos, SA (Alcalá de Henares, Spain), Tompla Sobre Exprés, SL (Alcalá de Henares), Tompla Scandinavia AB (Stockholm, Sweden), Tompla France SARL (Fleury-Mérogis, France), Tompla Druckerzeugnisse Vertriebs GmbH (Leonberg, Germany) (represented by: H. Brokelmann and P. Martínez-Lage Sobredo, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: F. Castilla Contreras, F. Jimeno Fernández and C. Urraca Caviedes, acting as Agents)