

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of OHIM, intervener before the General Court: Lego Juris A/S (Billund, Denmark) (represented by: V. von Bomhard, lawyer)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of OHIM of 26 March 2014 (Case R 1696/2013-4), concerning invalidity proceedings between Best-Lock (Europe) Ltd and Lego Juris A/S.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

- 1) *Dismisses the action;*
- 2) *Orders Best-Lock (Europe) Ltd to pay the costs.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 315, 15.9.2014.

Judgment of the General Court of 24 June 2015 — Infocit v OHIM — DIN (DINKOOL)

(Case T-621/14) ⁽¹⁾

(Community trade mark — Opposition proceedings — Application for Community word mark DINKOOL — Earlier international figurative mark DIN — Earlier national business identifier DIN — Relative ground for refusal — Likelihood of confusion — Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009)

(2015/C 262/35)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: Infocit — Prestação de Serviços, Comércio Geral e Indústria (Luanda, Angola) (represented by: A. Oliveira, lawyer)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (represented by: M. Fischer, acting as Agent)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of OHIM, intervener before the General Court: DIN — Deutsches Institut für Normung eV (Berlin, Germany) (represented by M. Bagh, lawyer)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Second Board of Appeal of OHIM of 4 June 2014 (Case R 1312/2013-2) concerning opposition proceedings between DIN — Deutsches Institut für Normung eV and Infocit — Prestação de Serviços, Comércio Geral e Indústria, Lda.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. *Dismisses the action;*
2. *Orders Infocit — Prestação de Serviços, Comércio Geral e Indústria, Lda, to bear its own costs and pay those incurred by the Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (OHIM);*
3. *Orders DIN — Deutsches Institut für Normung eV to bear its own costs.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 351, 6.10.2014.