

Judgment of the General Court of 15 September 2016 — U4U and Others v Parliament and Council(Case T-17/14) ⁽¹⁾**(Special and exceptional provisions applicable to officials serving in a third country — Career of officials with the grade of administrator — Amendment of the Staff Regulations of Officials of the European Union — Regulation (EU, Euratom) No 1023/2013 — Irregularities during the procedure for adopting the measures — Failure to consult the Staff Regulations Committee and the trade unions)**

(2016/C 392/27)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicants: Union pour l'Unité (U4U) (Brussels, Belgium), Unité & Solidarité — Hors Union (USHU) (Brussels), Regroupement Syndical (RS) (St Josse ten Noode, Belgium) and Georges Vlandas (Brussels) (represented by: F. Krenc, lawyer)

Defendants: European Parliament (represented by: A. Troupiotis and E. Taneva, acting as Agents) and Council of the European Union (represented initially by: M. Bauer and A. Bisch, and subsequently by M. Bauer, M. Veiga and J. Herrmann, acting as Agents)

Intervener in support of the defendants: European Commission (represented initially by: J. Currall and G. Gattinara, and subsequently by G. Gattinara and F. Simonetti, acting as Agents)

Re:

Application based on Article 263 TFEU and asking for annulment of Article 1(27), (61), (70) and (73)(k) of Regulation (EU, Euratom) No 1023/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 22 October 2013 amending the Staff Regulations of Officials of the European Union and the Conditions of Employment of Other Servants of the European Union (OJ 2013 L 287, p. 15), in so far as those provisions amend Article 45 and annexes I, X and XIII of those regulations, annexed to Regulation No 31 (EEC)/11(EAEC) laying down the Staff Regulations and the Conditions of Employment of Other Servants of the European Economic Community and the European Atomic Energy Community (OJ 1962 45, p. 1385).

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. Dismisses the action;
2. Orders Union pour l'Unité (U4U), Unité & solidarité — Hors Union (USHU), Regroupement Syndical (RS) and Mr Georges Vlandas to pay the costs;
3. Orders the European Commission to bear its own costs.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 112, 14.4.2014.

Judgment of the General Court of 8 September 2016 — Goldfish and Others v Commission(Case T-54/14) ⁽¹⁾**(Competition — Agreements, decisions and concerted practices — Belgian, German, French and Dutch markets in North Sea shrimps — Decision finding an infringement of Article 101 TFEU — Price-fixing and allocation of sales volumes — Admissibility of evidence — Use of secret recordings of telephone conversations as evidence — Assessment of ability to pay — Unlimited jurisdiction)**

(2016/C 392/28)

Language of the case: Dutch

Parties

Applicants: Goldfish BV (Zoutkamp, Netherlands), Heiploeg BV (Zoutkamp), Heiploeg Beheer BV (Zoutkamp) and Heiploeg Holding BV (Zoutkamp) (represented by: P. Glazener and B. Winters, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission (represented initially by: F. Ronkes Agerbeek and P. Van Nuffel, and subsequently by: P. Van Nuffel and H. van Vliet, acting as Agents)

Re:

Application based on Article 263 TFEU and asking, first, for annulment of Commission Decision C(2013) 8286 final of 27 November 2013 relating to a proceeding under Article 101 TFEU (Case AT.39633 — Shrimps), in so far as it concerns the applicants, and, secondly, for reduction in the amount of the fines imposed on those applicants.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. *Dismisses the action;*
2. *Orders Goldfish BV, Heiploeg BV, Heiploeg Beheer BV and Heiploeg Holding BV to pay the costs.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 71, 8.3.2014.

Judgment of the General Court of 15 September 2016 — Morningstar v Commission

(Case T-76/14) ⁽¹⁾

(Competition — Abuse of a dominant position — Worldwide market for consolidated real-time datafeeds — Decision making the commitments offered by the dominant undertaking binding — Article 9 of Regulation (EC) No 1/2003)

(2016/C 392/29)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: Morningstar, Inc. (Chicago, Illinois, United States of America) (represented by: S. Kinsella, K. Daly, P. Harrison, Solicitors, and M. Abenhaim, lawyer)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: F. Castilla Contreras, A. Dawes and F. Ronkes Agerbeek, acting as Agents)

Interveners in support of the defendant: Thomson Reuters Corp. (Toronto, Canada), and Reuters Ltd (London, United Kingdom) (represented by: A. Nourry, G. Olsen and C. Ghosh, Solicitors)

Re:

Application under Article 263 TFEU seeking annulment of Commission Decision C(2012) 9635 final of 20 December 2012 relating to a proceeding under Article 102 TFEU and Article 54 of the EEA Agreement (Case COMP/D2/39.654 — Reuters Instrument Codes (RICs)).

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. *Dismisses the action;*
2. *Orders Morningstar, Inc. to pay the costs.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 135, 5.5.2014.