

**Request for a preliminary ruling from the Tribunal du travail de Liège (Belgium) lodged on 14 May 2014 — Abdoulaye Amadou Tall v Centre public d'action sociale de Huy (CPAS de Huy)**

**(Case C-239/14)**

(2014/C 223/14)

*Language of the case: French*

**Referring court**

Tribunal du travail de Liège

**Parties to the main proceedings**

*Applicant:* Abdoulaye Amadou Tall

*Defendant:* Centre public d'action sociale de Huy (CPAS de Huy)

**Question referred**

According to Article 39/1 of the Law of 15 December 1980 on entry to Belgian territory, residence, establishment and expulsion of foreign nationals read in conjunction with Articles 39/2(l), 3rd subparagraph, Article 39/76, Article 39/82(4), 2nd subparagraph (d) and 57/6/2 of the same law, only appeals seeking annulment and suspension due to extreme urgency may be brought against a decision refusing to consider a multiple asylum claim. Given that in such an appeal the court does not have full jurisdiction to determine issues of fact and law, the appeal does not have suspensory effect and that the applicant does not have the right of residence nor to material assistance while it is under consideration, are such appeals compatible with the requirements of Article 47 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union and Article 39 of Council Directive 2005/85/EC of 1 December 2005 on minimum standards on procedures in Member States<sup>(1)</sup> for granting and withdrawing refugee status which lay down the right to an effective remedy?

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ 2005 L 326, p. 13.

---

**Appeal brought on 22 May 2014 by HeidelbergCement AG against the judgment of the General Court (Seventh Chamber) delivered on 14 March 2014 in Case T-302/11 HeidelbergCement AG v European Commission**

**(Case C-247/14 P)**

(2014/C 223/15)

*Language of the case: German*

**Parties**

*Appellant:* HeidelbergCement AG (represented by: U. Denzel, C. von Köckritz, P. Pichler, Rechtsanwälte)

*Other party to the proceedings:* European Commission

**Form of order sought**

1. Set aside the judgment under appeal;
2. annul Commission Decision C(2011) 2361 final (COMP/39520 — Cement and related products) of 30 March 2011, pursuant to the fourth paragraph of Article 263 TFEU, in so far as it concerns the appellant;
3. in the alternative to the claim at 2 above, refer the case back to the General Court for determination in accordance with the judgment of the Court of Justice as to points of law;
4. in any event order the Commission to pay the appellant's costs of the proceedings before the General Court and the Court of Justice.