

Order of the General Court of 26 March 2015 — Conte and Others v Council**(Case T-121/10) ⁽¹⁾****(Action for annulment — Fisheries — Conservation of fisheries resources — Introduction of a Community system of control, inspection and enforcement — Concept of regulatory act — Concept of legislative act — Not individually affected)**

(2015/C 178/13)

*Language of the case: Italian***Parties**

Applicants: Giovanni Conte (Pomezia, Italy); Casa del Pescatore Soc. coop. rl, Civitanova Marche (Italy); Guidotti Giovanni & Figli Snc (Termoli, Italy); Organizzazione di produttori della pesca di Civitanova Marche Soc. coop. rl (Civitanova Marche); Consorzio gestione mercato ittico Manfredonia Soc. coop. rl (Cogemim) (Manfredonia, Italy) (represented by: P. Cavascola, G. Micucci and V. Cannizzaro, lawyers)

Defendant: Council of the European Union (represented initially by: A. Westerhof Löfflerová and A. Lo Monaco, and subsequently by: A. Westerhof Löfflerová and S. Barbagallo, acting as Agents)

Intervener in support of the defendant: European Commission (represented by: K. Banks and D. Bianchi, acting as Agents)

Re:

Application for annulment of Council Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009 of 20 November 2009 establishing a Community control system for ensuring compliance with the rules of the common fisheries policy, amending Regulations (EC) No 847/96, (EC) No 2371/2002, (EC) No 811/2004, (EC) No 768/2005, (EC) No 2115/2005, (EC) No 2166/2005, (EC) No 388/2006, (EC) No 509/2007, (EC) No 676/2007, (EC) No 1098/2007, (EC) No 1300/2008, (EC) No 1342/2008 and repealing Regulations (EEC) No 2847/93, (EC) No 1627/94 and (EC) No 1966/2006 (OJ 2009 L 343, p. 1) and more particularly, Articles 9, 10, 14(1), (2), (3), (4) and (5), 15, 17(1), 58(1), (2), (3) and (5), 59(2) and (3), 60(4) and (5), 62(1), 63(1), 64, 65, 66(1) and (3), 67(1), 68, 73(8), 92(2) and 103 of that regulation.

Operative part of the order

1. *The action is dismissed as inadmissible.*
2. *Giovanni Conte; Casa del Pescatore Soc. coop. rl, Civitanova Marche; Guidotti Giovanni & Figli Snc; Organizzazione di produttori della pesca di Civitanova Marche Soc. coop. rl and Consorzio gestione mercato ittico Manfredonia Soc. coop. rl (Cogemim) shall bear their own costs and pay the costs incurred by the Council of the European Union.*
3. *The European Commission shall bear its own costs.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 134, 22.5.2010.

Order of the General Court of 30 March 2015 — Square v OHIM — Caisse régionale de crédit agricole mutuel Pyrénées Gascogne (SQUARE)**(Case T-213/13) ⁽¹⁾****(Community trade mark — Opposition proceedings — Withdrawal of the application for registration — No need to adjudicate)**

(2015/C 178/14)

*Language of the case: French***Parties**

Applicant: Square, Inc. (San Francisco, United States) (represented by: M. Graf, lawyer)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (represented by: V. Melgar, acting as Agent)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of OHIM intervening before the General Court: Caisse régionale de crédit agricole mutuel Pyrénées Gascogne (Tarbes, France) (represented by: A. Lecomte and R. Zeineh, lawyers)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the First Board of Appeal of OHIM of 31 January 2013 (Case R 775/2012-1) concerning opposition proceedings between Caisse régionale de crédit agricole mutuel Pyrénées Gascogne and Square, Inc.

Operative part of the order

1. *There is no longer any need to adjudicate in the action.*
2. *The applicant shall pay the costs.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 207, 20.7.2013.

Action brought on 1 March 2015 — Ryanair et Airport Marketing Services v Commission

(Case T-111/15)

(2015/C 178/15)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicants: Ryanair Ltd (Dublin, Ireland) and Airport Marketing Services Ltd (Dublin, Ireland) (represented by: G. Berrisch, E. Vahida, I. Metaxas-Maragkidis, lawyers, and B. Byrne, Solicitor)

Defendant: European Commission

Form of order sought

The applicants claim that the Court should:

- annul Articles 1(2), 2(4), 3, 4 and 5 of the decision of the European Commission of 23 July 2014 concerning the state aid SA.33963 (2012/C) (ex 2012/NN) implemented by France in favour of the Chamber of Commerce and Industry of Angoulême, SNC-Lavalin, Ryanair and Airport Marketing Services;
- order the Commission to pay the costs of the proceedings.

Pleas in law and main arguments

In support of the action, the applicant relies on four pleas in law.

1. First plea in law, alleging a violation of the principle of good administration enshrined in Article 41 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union and of the applicant's rights of defence, as the Commission failed to allow the applicants access to the file of the investigation and to put them in a position where they could effectively make known their views.