- 2. Orders Mr Johannes Tomana and the 120 other applicants listed in the annex hereto to bear their own costs and to pay the costs incurred by the Council of the European Union and the European Commission;
- 3. Orders the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland to bear its own costs.

(1) OJ C 194, 30.6.2012.

Judgment of the General Court of 22 April 2015 — Republic of Poland v European Commission
(Case T-290/12) (1)

(Agriculture — Common organisation of markets — Processed fruit and vegetables sectors — Aid to producer groups — Limitation on the Union's financial participation — Legal certainty — Legitimate expectations — Obligation to state reasons — Sincere cooperation)

(2015/C 190/12)

Language of the case: Polish

## **Parties**

Applicant: Republic of Poland (represented by: initially by B. Majczyna and M. Szpunar, and subsequently by B. Majczyna and K. Straś, Agents)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: initially by N. Donnelly, B. Schima and D. Milanowska, and subsequently by D. Milanowska and B. Schima, Agents)

## Re:

Action for annulment of Article 1(2) to (4), (6), (12) and (13), Article 2(1) to (3), read in conjunction with Article 3 of, and Annexes I and II to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 302/2012 of 4 April 2012 amending Implementing Regulation (EU) No 543/2011 laying down detailed rules for the application of Council Regulation (EC) No 1234/2007 in respect of the fruit and vegetables and processed fruit and vegetables sectors (OJ 2011 L 99, p. 21).

## Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

- 1. Dismisses the action;
- 2. Orders the Republic of Poland to bear its own costs and to pay those incurred by the European Commission.

(1) OJ C 250, 18.8.2012.

Judgment of the General Court of 16 April 2015 — Schlyter v Commission

(Case T-402/12) (1)

(Access to documents — Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001 — Article 4(2), third indent — Exception relating to the protection of the purpose of investigations — Regulation (EC) No 1367/2006 — Article 6 (1) — Detailed opinion of the Commission concerning a draft Order relating to the annual declaration of nanoparticle substances, notified by the French authorities to the Commission in accordance with the provisions of Directive 98/34/EC — Refusal of access)

(2015/C 190/13)

Language of the case: English

## **Parties**

Applicant: Carl Schlyter (Linköping, Sweden) (represented by: O. Brouwer and S. Schubert, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: P. Costa de Oliveira, A. Tokár and C. Zadra, acting as Agents)