Defendant: Ministère des affaires sociales et de la santé

Questions referred

- 1. Does the specificity requirement for the profession of dental practitioner, laid down by Article 36 of Directive 2005/36/EC, (¹) prevent the creation of a postgraduate university training course leading to a qualification which is common to medical students and dental students?
- 2. Must the provisions of the Directive on specialties related to medicine be construed as meaning that disciplines such as those listed in point 3 of this decision (2) may not be included in a dental training course?

(1) Directive 2005/36/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 7 September 2005 on the recognition of professional qualifications (OJ 2005 L 255, p. 22).

(2) Namely, on the one hand, theoretical training in oral surgery including in particular training in surgery on the periapex and on odontogenic and non-odontogenic cysts of the jaws, pre-prosthetic and implant surgery, the study of benign tumour conditions, salivary conditions and orthodontic-surgical and orthognathic treatment, and on the other, practical training lasting at least three semesters in a specialist dentistry department and three semesters in a specialist maxillofacial department.

Reference for a preliminary ruling from First-tier Tribunal (Tax Chamber) (United Kingdom) made on 5 November 2012 — Dixons Retail Plc v Commissioners for Her Majesty's Revenue and Customs

(Case C-494/12)

(2013/C 26/48)

Language of the case: English

Referring court

First-tier Tribunal (Tax Chamber)

Parties to the main proceedings

Applicant: Dixons Retail Plc

Defendant: Commissioners for Her Majesty's Revenue and Customs

Questions referred

- 1. Is Article 14.1 of Council Directive of 28 November 2006 (2006/112/EU (¹)) to be interpreted as applying when the physical transfer of goods is obtained by fraud in that the payment provided by the transferee is by means of a card which the transferee knows he has no authority to use?
- 2. When the physical transfer of goods is obtained by fraudulent use of a card, is there a 'transfer of the right to dispose of tangible property as owner' within Article 14.1?

- 3. Is Article 73 to be interpreted as applying when payment is obtained by the transferor of goods under an agreement with a third party to make such payment in respect of a card transactions notwithstanding that the transferee of the goods knows that he has no authority to use the card?
- 4. When payment is made by a third party pursuant to an agreement between the transferor of the goods an the third party as a consequence of the presentation to the transferor of a card which the transferee of the goods has no authority to use is the payment obtained from the third party 'in return for the supply' within Article 73?
- (1) Council Directive 2006/112/EC of 28 November 2006 on the common system of value added tax OJ L 347, p. 1

Reference for a preliminary ruling from the Tribunale Amministrativo Regionale per la Sicilia (Italy), lodged on 7 November 2012 — Davide Gullotta, Farmacia di Gullotta Davide & C. Sas v Ministero della Salute, Azienda Sanitaria Provinciale di Catania

(Case C-497/12)

(2013/C 26/49)

Language of the case: Italian

Referring court

Tribunale Amministrativo Regionale per la Sicilia

Parties to the main proceedings

Applicants: Davide Gullotta, Farmacia di Gullotta Davide & C.

Defendants: Ministero della Salute, Azienda Sanitaria Provinciale di Catania

Questions referred

1. Do the principles of freedom of establishment, non-discrimination and the preservation of competition under Article 49 et seq TFEU preclude national legislation which does not allow a pharmacist, who is qualified and entered in the relevant professional register but does not own a pharmacy included on the 'pianta organica' [territorial grid], also to offer for retail sale, in the para-pharmacy owned by that pharmacist, pharmaceutical products which are subject to a prescription in the form of a 'ricetta bianca' — that is to say, pharmaceutical products the cost of which is borne, not by the Italian national health service, but wholly by the citizen — and which thereby also establishes in that sector a prohibition on the sale of certain categories of pharmaceutical products, as well as a quota in relation to the number of commercial outlets which may be established within the national territory?