

GENERAL COURT

Order of the General Court of 12 April 2011 — *Stichting Corporate Europe Observatory v Commission*

(Case T-395/10) ⁽¹⁾

(Access to documents — Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001 — Implied refusal of access — Express decision adopted after the action was brought — No need to adjudicate)

(2011/C 173/22)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Appellant: Stichting Corporate Europe Observatory (Amsterdam, Netherlands) (represented by: S. Crosby, Solicitor, and S. Santoro, lawyer)

Other party to the proceedings: European Commission (represented by: F. Clotuche-Duvieusart and C. ten Dam, Agents)

Re:

Application for annulment of the Commission's implied decision refusing to grant the applicant access to certain documents concerning the relations between the European Union and India.

Operative part of the order

1. *There is no need to adjudicate on the action.*
2. *The European Commission shall pay the costs.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 301, 6.11.2010.

Order of the General Court of 11 April 2011 — *Département du Gers v Commission*

(Case T-478/10) ⁽¹⁾

(Action for annulment — Environment and protection of human health — Genetically modified food and feed — No individual concern — Inadmissibility)

(2011/C 173/23)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: Département du Gers (France) (represented by: S. Mabile and J.-P. Mignard, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: D. Bianchi and L. Pignataro, Agents)

Re:

Application for annulment of Commission Decision 2010/419/EU of 28 July 2010 renewing the authorisation for continued marketing of products containing, consisting of, or produced from genetically modified maize Bt11 (SYN-BTØ11-1), authorising foods and food ingredients containing or consisting of field maize Bt11 (SYN-BTØ11-1) pursuant to Regulation (EC) No 1829/2003 of the European Parliament and of the Council and repealing Decision 2004/657/EC (OJ 2010 L 197, p. 11).

Operative part of the order

1. *The action is dismissed as inadmissible;*
2. *The Département du Gers is ordered to bear its own costs and pay those of the European Commission;*
3. *There is no need to adjudicate on the applications to intervene of the European Parliament, the Council of the European Union, the Centre Region, the Picardy Region, the Département de la Haute-Garonne, the Brittany Region, the Poitou-Charentes Region, the Provence-Alpes-Côte-d'Azur Region, the Burgundy Region, the Midi-Pyrénées Region, the Auvergne Region, the Pays de la Loire Region, the Rhône-Alpes Region, the Département des Côtes d'Armor, the Île de France Region and the Nord-Pas-de-Calais Region.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 346, 18.12.2010.

Order of the General Court of 11 April 2011 — *Département du Gers v Commission*

(Case T-479/10) ⁽¹⁾

(Action for annulment — Environment and protection of human health — Genetically modified food and feed — No individual concern — Inadmissibility)

(2011/C 173/24)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: Département du Gers (France) (represented by: S. Mabile and J.-P. Mignard, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: D. Bianchi and L. Pignataro, Agents)