

**Operative part of the order**

1. *There is no need to adjudicate on Mr Meierhofer's action in so far as it disputes the insufficient statement of reasons for the decision of 19 June 2007.*
2. *The remainder of Mr Meierhofer's action is dismissed, in part as manifestly unfounded, and in part as manifestly inadmissible.*
3. *The European Commission shall bear two thirds of the costs incurred by the applicant in the first set of proceedings before the Tribunal and its own costs relating to the first set of proceedings before the Tribunal, the proceedings before the General Court of the European Union and the present proceedings.*
4. *The applicant shall bear one-third of his own costs relating to the first set of proceedings before the Tribunal and all his own costs relating to the proceedings before the General Court of the European Union and the present proceedings.*

---

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 223, 22.9.2007, p. 21.

**Order of the Civil Service Tribunal (First Chamber) of 22 June 2011 — Lebedef v Commission****(Case F-33/10)** <sup>(1)</sup>

*(Staff case — Officials — Appraisal for the year 2005 — Career development report — General provisions implementing Article 43 of the Staff Regulations — Report drawn up following the judgment in Case F-36/07 — Manifest inadmissibility)*

(2011/C 232/75)

Language of the case: French

**Parties**

*Applicant:* Giorgio Lebedef (Senningerberg, Luxembourg) (represented by: F. Frabetti, lawyer)

*Defendant:* European Commission (represented by: J. Currall and G. Berscheid, Agents)

**Re:**

Application for annulment of the applicant's career development report for the period from 1 January 2005 till 31 December 2005, as drawn up following its annulment by the Civil Service Tribunal in its judgment in Case F-36/07.

**Operative part of the order***The Tribunal*

1. *Dismisses the action as manifestly inadmissible;*
2. *Orders Mr Lebedef to pay all the costs.*

---

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 209, 31.7.2010, p. 53.

**Order of the Civil Service Tribunal (First Chamber) of 30 June 2011 — Van Asbroek v European Commission****(Case F-88/10)** <sup>(1)</sup>

*(Civil service — Officials — Decision on classification in intermediate grade — Request for re-examination — Substantial new fact — None — Action manifestly inadmissible)*

(2011/C 232/76)

Language of the case: French

**Parties**

*Applicant:* Van Asbroek (Dilbeek, Belgium) (represented by: S. Rodrigues, A. Blot and C. Bernard-Glanz, lawyers)

*Defendant:* European Commission (represented by: initially by G. Berscheid and D. Martin, Agents, and subsequently by J. Currall and G. Berscheid, Agents)

**Re:**

Action for annulment of the decision rejecting the applicant's request for partial annulment of the Commission's decision of 22 October 2008 regarding the introduction of a compensatory allowance in favour of officials who changed category before 1 May 2004, for reclassification, with retroactive effect from 1 May 2004, in grade D\*4/8 and for reconstruction of his career to reflect the promotions, annual adjustments and advancements in step to which it had been subject since then.

**Operative part of the order**

1. *The action is dismissed as manifestly inadmissible.*
2. *Mr Van Asbroek shall bear all the costs.*

---

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 317, 20.11.2010, p. 50.

**Order of the Civil Service Tribunal (First Chamber) of 29 June 2011 — Schuerewegen v Parliament****(Case F-125/10)** <sup>(1)</sup>

*(Staff case — Officials — Measure removing the applicant from his place of work — Withdrawal of his staff card — Withdrawal of his rights of access to the IT network — Prior complaint — Sent by electronic means — Administration actually aware of it — Out of time — Manifest inadmissibility)*

(2011/C 232/77)

Language of the case: French

**Parties**

*Applicant:* Daniel Schuerewegen (Marienthal, Luxembourg) (represented by: P. Nelissen Grade and G. Leblanc, lawyers)

*Defendant:* Parliament (represented by: O. Caisou-Rousseau and E. Despotopoulou, Agents)

**Re:**

Application for annulment of the appointing authority's decision by which the applicant was removed from his place of work and his staff card withdrawn, and also of the measures taken as a result of that decision and a claim for damages

**Operative part of the order**

*The Tribunal*

1. *Dismisses the action as manifestly inadmissible;*
2. *Orders Mr Schuerewegen to pay all the costs.*

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 30 of 29/1/11, p. 68.

**Order of the Civil Service Tribunal of 20 May 2011 —  
Florentiny v Parliament**

**(Case F-90/10)** <sup>(1)</sup>

(2011/C 232/78)

*Language of the case: French*

The President of the First Chamber has ordered that the case be removed from the register, following amicable settlement.

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 55, 19.2.11, p. 36.

**Order of the Civil Service Tribunal of 25 May 2011 — AL  
v Parliament**

**(Case F-93/10)** <sup>(1)</sup>

(2011/C 232/79)

*Language of the case: French*

The President of the First Chamber has ordered that the case be removed from the register, following amicable settlement.

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 328, 4.12.10, p. 61.

**Order of the Civil Service Tribunal of 27 April 2011 — AR  
v Commission**

**(Case F-120/10)** <sup>(1)</sup>

(2011/C 232/80)

*Language of the case: French*

The President of the First Chamber has ordered that the case be removed from the register.

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 72, 5.3.11, p. 35.