

**Order of the Civil Service Tribunal (First Chamber) of
2 May 2007 — Marcuccio v Commission**

(Case F-2/06) ⁽¹⁾

*(Officials — Social Security — Insurance against the risk of
occupational disease and of accident — Accident at work —
Termination of the application procedure under Article 73 of
the Staff Regulations)*

(2007/C 155/80)

Language of the case: Italian

Parties

Applicant: Luigi Marcuccio (Tricase, Italy) (represented by:
I. Cazzato, lawyer)

Defendant: Commission of the European Communities (repre-
sented by: C. Berardis-Kayser, Agent, and A. Dal Ferro, lawyer)

Re:

Annulment of the Commission's decision to terminate the
procedure for granting the applicant the benefits provided for
by Article 73 of the Staff Regulations and linked to an accident
he suffered on 10 September 2003.

Operative part of the order

1. *The action is dismissed as manifestly inadmissible.*
2. *The parties shall bear their own costs.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 86, 8.4.2006, p. 48.

**Order of the Civil Service Tribunal (Third Chamber) of
24 May 2007 — Lofaro v Commission**

(Joined Cases F-27/06 and F-75/06) ⁽¹⁾

*(Officials — Member of temporary staff — Extension of
probationary period — Dismissal at the end of the proba-
tionary period — Acts adversely affecting the applicant —
Period for lodging a complaint — Inadmissibility)*

(2007/C 155/81)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: Alessandro Lofaro (Brussels, Belgium) (represented by:
J.-L. Laffineur, lawyer)

Defendant: Commission of the European Communities (repre-
sented by: J. Currall and K. Herrmann, Agents, assisted in Case
F-27/06 by F. Longfis, lawyer)

Re:

In Case F-27/06:

First, annulment of the Commission's decisions to extend the
applicant's probationary period and to terminate his contract at
the end of that period and, second, a claim for damages.

In Case F-75/06:

First, annulment of the Commission's decision of 28 September
2005 to dismiss the applicant at the end of his probationary
period and the report at the expiry of the probationary period
on which that decision was based and, second, a claim for
damages.

Operative part of the order

1. *The actions are dismissed as inadmissible.*
2. *The parties shall bear their own costs.*

⁽¹⁾ F-27/06: OJ C 208, 6.5.2006, p. 35 and F-75/06: OJ C 212,
2.9.2006, p. 48.

**Action brought on 9 May 2007 — Korjus v Court of
Justice**

(Case F-43/07)

(2007/C 155/82)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: Nina Korjus (Luxembourg, Luxembourg) (represented
by: J. Ortlinghaus, lawyer)

Defendant: Court of Justice of the European Communities

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Tribunal should:

- annul the decision appointing the applicant, in so far as it
fixes her grade under Article 13(1) of Annex XIII to the Staff
Regulations;