

Action brought on 13 March 2007 — Commission of the European Communities v Kingdom of Sweden

(Case C-146/07)

(2007/C 95/65)

Language of the case: Swedish

Parties

Applicant: Commission of the European Communities (represented by: K. Simonsson and W. Wils, acting as Agents)

Defendant: Kingdom of Sweden

Form of order sought

— declare that, by failing to adopt the laws, regulations and administrative provisions necessary to comply with Directive 2001/84/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 September 2001 ⁽¹⁾ on the resale right for the benefit of the author of an original work of art or, in any event, by failing to notify the Commission thereof, the Kingdom of Sweden has failed to fulfil its obligations under the Directive, and

— order Kingdom of Sweden to pay the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

The time-limit prescribed for implementing the Directive expired on 31 December 2005.

⁽¹⁾ OJ 2001 L 272, p. 32.

Action brought on 13 March 2007 — Commission of the European Communities v French Republic

(Case C-147/07)

(2007/C 95/66)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: Commission of the European Communities (represented by: S. Pardo Quintillán, J. Hottiaux, J.-B. Laignelot, Agents)

Defendant: French Republic

Form of order sought

— declare that, by failing to take all the measures necessary to comply with Article 4 of Council Directive 98/83/EC of 3 November 1998 on the quality of water intended for human consumption ⁽¹⁾, the French Republic has failed to fulfil its obligations under that directive;

— order the French Republic to pay the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

The quality of water intended for human consumption in France does not comply with the provisions of Directive 98/83 in so far as the thresholds laid down in that directive are regularly exceeded, as regards nitrates and pesticides, in the Deux-Sèvres, Charente-Maritime and Vendée Departments.

⁽¹⁾ OJ 1998 L 330, p. 32.

Action brought on 14 March 2007 — Commission of the European Communities v Republic of Hungary

(Case C-148/07)

(2007/C 95/67)

Language of the case: Hungarian

Parties

Applicant: Commission of the European Communities (represented by: V. Bottka and K. Mojzesowicz, acting as Agents)

Defendant: Republic of Hungary

Form of order sought

— declare that, by failing to eliminate the restrictions to the provision of cable television services imposed by Article 115 (4) of Law I of 1996 on Radio and Television, the Republic of Hungary has failed to fulfil its obligations under Commission Directive 2002/77/EC of 16 September 2002 on competition in the markets for electronic communications networks and services ⁽¹⁾;

— order Republic of Hungary to pay the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

The period prescribed for transposing the directive into national law expired on 30 April 2004.

According to the Commission, the Republic of Hungary has failed to fulfil its obligations under Article 2(3) of Directive 2002/77/EC by restricting the right of cable television service providers to broadcast programmes so that in territorial coverage is no more than one third of the population.

(¹) OJ 2002 L 249, p. 21.

Order of the President of the Court of 1 February 2007 — Commission of the European Communities v Italian Republic

(Case C-71/06) (¹)

(2007/C 95/68)

Language of the case: Italian

The President of the Court has ordered that the case be removed from the register.

(¹) OJ C 74, 25.3.2006.

Order of the President of the Court of 15 February 2007 — Commission of the European Communities v Hellenic Republic

(Case C-124/06) (¹)

(2007/C 95/69)

Language of the case: Greek

The President of the Court has ordered that the case be removed from the register.

(¹) OJ C 96, 22.4.2006.

Order of the President of the Court of 26 February 2007 (reference for a preliminary ruling from the Krajský Soud v Praze — Czech Republic) — Ochranný svaz autorský pro práva k dílům hudebním (OSA) v Miloslav Lev

(Case C-282/06) (¹)

(2007/C 95/70)

Language of the case: Czech

The President of the Court has ordered that the case be removed from the register.

(¹) OJ C 212, 2.9.2006.