

Re:

Appeal against the judgment of the Court of First Instance (Second Chamber) of 26 April 2005 in Joined Cases T-110/03, T-150/03 and T-405/03 *Sison v Council*, by which the Court of First Instance dismissed an application for annulment of the Council's decision refusing the applicant's request for access to certain documents on which the Council relied when adopting Decision 2002/848/EC implementing Article 2(3) of Regulation (EC) No 2580/2001 on specific restrictive measures directed against certain persons and entities with a view to combating terrorism and repealing Decision 2002/460/EC (OJ 2002 L 295, p. 12)

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. Dismisses the appeal;
2. Orders Mr Sison to pay the costs.

(¹) OJ C 243, 1.10.2005.

Judgment of the Court (First Chamber) of 15 February 2007 (reference for a preliminary ruling from the Arios Pagos — Greece) — Athinaiki Chartopoiia AE v L. Panagiotidis and Others

(Case C-270/05) (¹)

(Collective redundancies — Council Directive 98/59/EC — Article 1(1)(a) — Termination of the establishment's activities of the employer's own volition — Concept of 'establishment')

(2007/C 82/08)

Language of the case: Greek

Referring court

Arios Pagos

Parties to the main proceedings

Applicant: Athinaiki Chartopoiia AE

Defendant: L. Panagiotidis and Others

Intervener: Geniki Sinomospondia Ergaton Elladas (GSEE)

Re:

Reference for a preliminary ruling — Arios Pagos — Interpretation of Article 1(2)(d) of Council Directive 75/129/EEC of

17 February 1975 (OJ 1975 L 48, p. 29), Article 2(4) of Council Directive 92/56/EEC of 24 June 1992 (OJ 1992 L 245, p. 3) and Article 4(4) of Council Directive 98/59/EC of 20 July 1998 (OJ 1998 L 225, p. 16), on the approximation of the laws of the Member States relating to collective redundancies — Employer's obligation to inform and consult with the workers' representatives — Scope of the derogating conditions governing dismissals where activities are terminated following a judicial decision

Operative part of the judgment

Council Directive 98/59/EC of 20 July 1998 on the approximation of the laws of the Member States relating to collective redundancies, in particular Article 1(1)(a), is to be interpreted as meaning that a production unit such as that at issue in the main proceedings comes within the concept of 'establishment' for the purposes of the application of that directive.

(¹) OJ C 217, 3.9.2005.

Judgment of the Court (Second Chamber) of 15 February 2007 (reference for a preliminary ruling from the Efetio Patron — Greece) — I. Lechouritou, V. Karkoulas, G. Pavlopoulos, P. Bratsikas, D. Sotiropoulos, G. Dimopoulos v Dimosio tis Omospondiakis Dimokratias tis Germanias

(Case C-292/05) (¹)

(Brussels Convention — First sentence of the first paragraph of Article 1 — Scope — Civil and commercial matters — Meaning — Action for compensation brought in a Contracting State, by the successors of the victims of war massacres, against another Contracting State on account of acts perpetrated by its armed forces)

(2007/C 82/09)

Language of the case: Greek

Referring court

Efetio Patron

Parties to the main proceedings

Plaintiffs: I. Lechouritou, V. Karkoulas, G. Pavlopoulos, P. Bratsikas, D. Sotiropoulos, G. Dimopoulos

Defendant: Dimosio tis Omospondiakis Dimokratias tis Germanias