

Action brought on 6 February 2006 by the Commission of the European Communities against the Slovak Republic

(Case C-69/06)

(2006/C 74/22)

(Language of the case: Slovak)

An action against the Slovak Republic was brought before the Court of Justice of the European Communities on 6 February 2006 by the Commission of the European Communities, represented by N. Yerrell and Tomáš Kukul, acting as Agents, with an address for service in Luxembourg.

The Commission claims that the Court should:

1. declare that, by failing to adopt the laws, regulations and administrative provisions necessary to comply with Council Directive 76/914/EEC of 16 December 1976 on the minimum level of training for some road transport drivers, ⁽¹⁾ or by failing to notify those measures to the Commission, the Slovak Republic has failed to fulfil its obligations under that directive;
2. order the Slovak Republic to pay the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

The period for adopting the measures to transpose the directive expired on 1 May 2004.

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 357 of 29.12.1976, p. 36.

Action brought on 7 February 2006 by the Commission of the European Communities against the Italian Republic

(Case C-71/06)

(2006/C 74/23)

(Language of the case: Italian)

An action against the Italian Republic was brought before the Court of Justice of the European Communities on 7 February 2006 by the Commission of the European Communities, represented by L. Visaggio, acting as Agent.

The applicant claims that the Court should:

1. declare that, by not adopting the laws, regulations and administrative provisions necessary to comply with Council Directive 2003/85/EC ⁽¹⁾ of 29 September 2003 on Community measures for the control of foot-and-mouth disease repealing Directive 85/511/EEC ⁽²⁾ and Decisions 89/531/EEC ⁽³⁾ and 91/665/EEC ⁽⁴⁾ and amending Directive 92/46/EEC ⁽⁵⁾ or rather by not notifying those provisions to the Commission, the Italian Republic has failed to fulfil its obligations under Article 93(1) of Directive 2003/85/EC;
2. order the Italian Republic to pay the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

The time-limit for the implementation of the directive expired on 30 June 2004.

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 306 of 22.11.2003, p. 1
⁽²⁾ OJ L 315 of 26.11.1985, p. 11
⁽³⁾ OJ L 279 of 28.9.1989, p. 32
⁽⁴⁾ OJ L 368 of 31.12.1991, p. 19
⁽⁵⁾ OJ L 268 of 14.9.1992, p. 1

Action brought on 7 February 2006 by the Commission of the European Communities against the Hellenic Republic

(Case C-72/06)

(2006/C 74/24)

(Language of the case: Greek)

An action against the Hellenic Republic was brought before the Court of Justice of the European Communities on 7 February 2006 by the Commission of the European Communities, represented by Maria Kondou Durande and Carmel O'Reilly, Legal Advisers, acting as Agents, with an address for service in Luxembourg.

The Commission claims that the Court should:

1. declare that, by failing to adopt the laws, regulations and administrative provisions necessary to comply with Council Directive 2003/9/EC ⁽¹⁾ of 27 January 2003 laying down minimum standards for the reception of asylum seekers and in any event by failing to inform the Commission thereof, the Hellenic Republic has failed to fulfil its obligations under Article 26 of that directive;

2. order the Hellenic Republic to pay the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

The period prescribed for transposing the directive into national law expired on 6 February 2005.

(¹) OJ L 31 of 6.2.2003, p. 18.

Action brought on 9 February 2006 by the Commission of the European Communities against the Grand-Duchy of Luxembourg

(Case C-77/06)

(2006/C 74/25)

(Language of the case: French)

An action against the Grand-Duchy of Luxembourg was brought before the Court of Justice of the European Communities on 9 February 2006 by the Commission of the European Communities, represented by J. Hottiaux and F. Simonetti, acting as Agents, with an address for service in Luxembourg.

The Commission claims that the Court should:

1. declare that, by not adopting the laws, regulations and administrative provisions necessary to comply with Directive 2001/42/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 June 2001 on the assessment of the effects of certain plans and programmes on the environment (¹) and, in any event, by not communicating those provisions to the Commission, the Grand Duchy of Luxembourg has failed to fulfil its obligations under that directive;
2. order the Grand-Duchy of Luxembourg to pay the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

The time-limit for the implementation of Directive 2001/42/EC expired on 21 July 2004.

(¹) OJ L 197 of 21.7.2001, p. 30.

Action brought on 9 February 2006 by the Commission of the European Communities against the Grand Duchy of Luxembourg

(Case C-78/06)

(2006/C 74/26)

(Language of the case: French)

An action against the Grand Duchy of Luxembourg was brought before the Court of Justice of the European Communities, represented by A. Alcover San Pedro and F. Simonetti, acting as Agents, with an address for service in Luxembourg.

The Commission claims that the Court should:

1. declare that, by not adopting, and in any event by not notifying to the Commission, the laws, regulations and administrative provisions necessary to comply with Directive 2002/49/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 June 2002 relating to the assessment and management of environmental noise (¹), the Grand Duchy of Luxembourg has failed to fulfil its obligations under that directive;
2. order the Grand Duchy of Luxembourg to pay the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

The time-limit for transposition of the directive expired on 18 July 2004.

(¹) OJ L 189, 18.7.2002, p. 12.

Action brought on 10 February 2006 by the Commission of the European Communities against the Hellenic Republic

(Case C-85/06)

(2006/C 74/27)

(Language of the case: Greek)

An action against the Hellenic Republic was brought before the Court of Justice of the European Communities on 10 February 2006 by the Commission of the European Communities, represented by Ulrich Wölker, Legal Adviser, and Minas Konstantinidis, of its Legal Service, acting as Agents, with an address for service in Luxembourg.