Action brought on 23 August 2004 by Ontex N.V. against the Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market Decision of the Opposi-Rejection of the trade mark appli-(Trade Marks and Designs) tion Division: cation (Case T-353/04) Decision of the Board Annulment of the decision of the Opposition Division and remittal of Appeal: of the case to the Opposition Divi-(2004/C 273/68) sion for further prosecution (Language in which the application was lodged: English) Pleas in law: Infringement of Article 8(1)(b) of Council Regulation No 40/94 An action against the Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) was brought before the Court of First Instance of the European Communities on 23 August 2004 by Ontex N.V., Buggenhout (Belgium), represented by M. Du Tré, lawyer. Action brought on 27 August 2004 by SmithKline Beecham p.l.c. against the Office for Harmonisation in the Curon Medical, Inc., Sunnyvale, California (USA) was also a Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal. The applicant claims that the Court should: (Case T-356/04) annul the contested decision of the Second Board of Appeal of 5 July 2004 (2004/C 273/69) - order the Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market to pay the costs (Language in which the application was lodged: English) Pleas in law and main arguments: An action against the Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Curon Medical, Inc. Applicant for Com-Market (Trade Marks and Designs) was brought before the munity trade mark: Court of First Instance of the European Communities on 27 August 2004 by SmithKline Beecham, Brentford, (United Kingdom) represented by Dr V. von Bomhard, Dr A. Renck, Dr Community trade mark Word mark 'CURON' for goods A. Pohlmann and I. Fowler, lawyers. and services in classes 10, 41 and concerned: 42 (e.g. surgical, medical, dental and veterinary apparatus, instruments and devices except X-ray Warner-Lambert Consumer Healthcare S.Com.p.a. Milan, (Italy) apparatus) application No ____ was also a party to the proceedings before the Board of 1 934 868 Appeal. Proprietor of mark or The applicant sign cited in the opposi-The applicant claims that the Court should: tion proceedings: Trade mark or sign Community word mark 'EURON' - annul the Decision of the First Board of Appeal of the cited in opposition: for goods and services in class 10 Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade (e.g. surgical, medical, dental and Marks and Designs) of 15 June 2004 in Case R0018/2004veterinary apparatus, instruments and devices except X-ray apparatus) - Community trade mark No 762 351 - order that the costs of the proceedings be borne by the

defendant

Official Journal of the European Union

6.11.2004

C 273/36

EN