The applicant claims that the Court should:

- Annul the decision of 27 January 2003 of the Second Board of Appeal of OHIM in Case R 577/2002-1, and
- Order the applicant, as well as any intervener, to pay the costs arising from the proceedings and from Case 577/2001-1 before the First Board of Appeal of OHIM.

## Pleas in law and main arguments

Applicant for Com- munity trade mark:	The applicant.		
Community trade mark sought:	Figurative mark 'NLJEANS' — Application No 816.454 for goods within Classes 3, 14, 18 and 25.	Pleas in law and main argun	nents
Proprietor of mark or sign cited in the oppo- sition proceedings:	Naulover S.A.	Applicant for Com-	The applicant.
Mark or sign cited in opposition:	Community figurative mark No 13417, consisting in an N	munity trade mark:	
	superposed on an L, both in English characters.	Community trade mark sought:	Figurative mark 'NLACTIVE' — Application No 816.629 for goods within Classes 3, 14, 18 and 25.
Decision of the Oppo- sition Division:	Refusal of the opposition.		
Decision of the Board of Appeal:	Annulment of the decision of the Opposition Division and accept- ance of the opposition.	Proprietor of mark or sign cited in the oppo- sition proceedings:	Naulover S.A.
Pleas in law:	Incorrect application of Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 40/494 (likelihood of con- fusion).		
		Mark or sign cited in opposition:	Community trade mark No 13417, consisting in an N superposed on an L, both in English characters.
Action brought on 4 April 2003 by New Look Limited against the Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (OHIM)		Decision of the Oppo- sition Division:	Refusal of the opposition.
(Case T-119/03)		Decision of the Board of Appeal:	Annulment of the decision of the Opposition Division and accept-
(2003/C 146/74)			ance of the opposition.
(Language of the case: Spanish)		Pleas in law:	Incorrect application of Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EC)

An action against the Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (OHIM) was brought before the Court of First Instance of the European Communities on 4 April 2003 by New Look Limited, with its official address in Weymouth (Dorset), United Kingdom, represented by R. Ballester and G. Marín, lawyers, of the firm Marks & Clerk. The applicant claims that the Court should:

- Annul the decision of 27 January 2003 of the Second Board of Appeal of OHIM in Case R 578/2002-1, and
- Order the applicant, as well as any intervener, to pay the costs arising from the proceedings and from Case 578/2001-1 before the First Board of Appeal of OHIM.

Incorrect application of Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 40/494 (likelihood of confusion).