

JUDGMENT OF THE COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE**of 26 February 2003****in Case T-59/01: Albert Nardone v Commission of the European Communities ⁽¹⁾****(Action for annulment — Former official — Request for invalidity pension)**

(2003/C 112/54)

(Language of the case: French)

In Case T-59/01: Albert Nardone, a former official of the Commission of the European Communities, residing in Piétrain (Belgium), represented by J. R. Iturriagoitia Bassas and K. Delvolvé, lawyers, against the Commission of the European Communities (Agent: J. Currall) — application, principally, for the annulment of the Commission decision of 20 March 2000 refusing to grant the applicant an invalidity pension, — the Court of First Instance (First Chamber), composed of: B. Vesterdorf, President, N.J. Forwood and H. Legal, Judges; D. Christensen, Administrator, for the Registrar, has given a judgment on 26 February 2003, in which it:

1. Dismisses the application;
2. Orders the parties to bear their own costs.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 173 of 16.6.01.

JUDGMENT BY THE COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE**of 27 February 2003****in Case T-61/01 Vendedurías de Armadores Reunidos, SA v Commission of the European Communities ⁽¹⁾****(Fisheries — Community financial aid — Suspension of aid — Action for damages)**

(2003/C 112/55)

(Language of the case: Spanish)

In Case T-61/01, Vendedurías de Armadores Reunidos, SA, established in Huelva (Spain), represented by represented by J.-R. García-Gallardo Gil-Fournier and D. Domínguez Pérez, lawyers, v the Commission of the European Communities (agents: S. Pardo Quintillán and J. Guerra Fernández), an application for compensation for the damages caused by the

unlawful suspension of the aid allocated to the joint enterprise fisheries project SM/ESP/18/93, the Court of First Instance (Third Chamber), composed of K. Lenaerts, President, and J. Azizi and M. Jaeger, Judges; Registrar: J. Palacio González, Principal Administrator, gave the following judgment on 27 February 2003:

1. The application is dismissed;
2. The applicant is ordered to pay the costs.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 150 of 19.5.01.

JUDGMENT OF THE COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE**of 6 March 2003****in Case T-128/01: DaimlerChrysler Corporation v Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (OHIM) ⁽¹⁾****(Community trade mark — Figurative mark — Representation of a vehicle grille — Absolute ground for refusal — Article 7(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 40/94 — Mark devoid of any distinctive character)**

(2003/C 112/56)

(Language of the case: English)

In Case T-128/01, DaimlerChrysler Corporation, established in Auburn Hills, Michigan (United States), represented by T. Cohen Jehoram, lawyer, with an address for service in Luxembourg, v Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (OHIM) (Agents: A. von Mühlendahl and O. Waelbroeck): Action brought against the decision of the Second Board of Appeal of the Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) of 21 March 2001 (Case R 309/1999-2), the Court of First Instance (Fourth Chamber), composed of: M. Vilaras, President, V. Tiili and P. Mengozzi, Judges; J. Palacio González, Principal Administrator, for the Registrar, has given a judgment on 6 March 2003, in which it:

1. Annuls the decision of the Second Board of Appeal of the Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) of 21 March 2001 (Case R 309/1999-2);
2. Orders the defendant to pay the costs.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 245 of 1.9.2001.