

the consequences of such non-registration do not adversely affect in any other way the protection which that directive confers on commercial agents in their relations with their principals.

(¹) OJ C 44 of 16.2.2002.

JUDGMENT OF THE COURT

(Third Chamber)

of 6 March 2003

in Case C-6/02: Commission of the European Communities v French Republic (¹)

(Failure by a Member State to fulfil obligations — Free movement of goods — Measures having equivalent effect — Indication of provenance — Regional labels)

(2003/C 101/17)

(Language of the case: French)

(Provisional translation; the definitive translation will be published in the European Court Reports)

In Case C-6/02, Commission of the European Communities (Agents: H. van Lier and J. Adda) v French Republic (Agents: G. de Bergues and A. Colomb): Application for a declaration that, by maintaining the national legal protection afforded to the name 'Salaisons d'Auvergne' and to the regional labels 'Savoie', 'Franche-Comté', 'Corse', 'Midi-Pyrénées', 'Normandie', 'Nord-Pas-de-Calais', 'Ardennes de France', 'Limousin', 'Languedoc-Roussillon' and 'Lorraine', the French Republic has failed to fulfil its obligations under Article 28 EC, the Court (Third Chamber), composed of: J.-P. Puissochet, President of the Chamber, C. Gulmann (Rapporteur) and F. Macken, Judges; J. Mischo, Advocate General; R. Grass, Registrar, has given a judgment on 6 March 2003, in which it:

1. Declares that, by maintaining the national legal protection afforded to the name 'Salaisons d'Auvergne' and to the regional labels 'Savoie', 'Franche-Comté', 'Corse', 'Midi-Pyrénées', 'Normandie', 'Nord-Pas-de-Calais', 'Ardennes de France', 'Limousin', 'Languedoc-Roussillon' and 'Lorraine', the French Republic has failed to fulfil its obligations under Article 28 EC;
2. Orders the French Republic to pay the costs.

(¹) OJ C 56 of 2.3.2002.

JUDGMENT OF THE COURT

(Fourth Chamber)

of 6 March 2003

in Case C-211/02: Commission of the European Communities v Grand Duchy of Luxembourg (¹)

(Failure by a Member State to fulfil obligations — Failure to transpose Directive 97/66/EC within the prescribed periods)

(2003/C 101/18)

(Language of the case: French)

(Provisional translation; the definitive translation will be published in the European Court Reports)

In Case C-211/02, Commission of the European Communities (Agent: C. Schmidt) v Grand Duchy of Luxembourg (Agent: N. Mackel): Application for a declaration that, by failing to adopt the laws, regulations and administrative provisions necessary to comply with Directive 97/66/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 15 December 1997 concerning the processing of personal data and the protection of privacy in the telecommunications sector (OJ 1998 L 24, p. 1), the Grand Duchy of Luxembourg has failed to fulfil its obligations under that directive, the Court (Fourth Chamber), composed of: C.W.A. Timmermans, President of the Chamber, D.A.O. Edward (Rapporteur) and A. La Pergola, Judges; S. Alber, Advocate General; R. Grass, Registrar, has given a judgment on 6 March 2003, in which it:

1. Declares that, by failing, within the prescribed periods, to adopt the laws, regulations and administrative provisions necessary to comply with Directive 97/66/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 15 December 1997 concerning the processing of personal data and the protection of privacy in the telecommunications sector, the Grand Duchy of Luxembourg has failed to fulfil its obligations under that directive;
2. Orders the Grand Duchy of Luxembourg to pay the costs.

(¹) OJ C 180 of 27.7.2002.