

directive in relation to group water supplies, Ireland has failed to fulfil its obligations under Articles 7(6), 18 and 19 of that directive;

2. Orders Ireland to pay the costs.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 302 of 21.10.2002.

JUDGMENT OF THE COURT

of 5 November 2002

in Case C-325/00: Commission of the European Communities v Federal Republic of Germany ⁽¹⁾

(Free movement of goods — Measures having equivalent effect — Label of origin and quality)

(2002/C 323/18)

(Language of the case: German)

(Provisional translation; the definitive translation will be published in the European Court Reports)

In Case C-325/00, Commission of the European Communities (Agents: J. C. Schieferer and C. Schmidt) v Federal Republic of Germany (Agent: W.-D. Plessing, acting as Agent, assisted by M. Loschelder): Application for a declaration that by awarding the quality label 'Markenqualität aus deutschen Landen' (quality label for produce made in Germany) to finished products of a certain quality produced in Germany, the Federal Republic of Germany has failed to fulfil its obligations under Article 30 of the EC Treaty (now, after amendment, Article 28 EC), the Court, composed of: G. C. Rodríguez Iglesias, President, J.-P. Puissochet and M. Wathelet (Presidents of Chambers), C. Gulmann (Rapporteur), A. La Pergola, P. Jann, V. Skouris, F. Macken, N. Colneric, S. von Bahr and J. N. Cunha Rodrigues, Judges; F. G. Jacobs, Advocate General; R. Grass, Registrar, has given a judgment on 5 November 2002, in which it:

1. Declares that, by awarding the quality label 'Markenqualität aus deutschen Landen' (quality label for produce made in Germany) to finished products of a certain quality made in Germany, the Federal Republic of Germany has failed to fulfil its obligations under Article 30 of the EC Treaty (now, after amendment, Article 28 EC);
2. Orders the Federal Republic of Germany to pay the costs.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 316 of 4.11.2000.

JUDGMENT OF THE COURT

(Sixth Chamber)

of 7 November 2002

in Case C-333/00 (Reference for a preliminary ruling from the Tarkastuslautakunta): Eila Päivikki Maaheimo ⁽¹⁾

(Regulation (EEC) No 1408/71 — Family benefits — Home child-care allowance — Residence condition for children)

(2002/C 323/19)

(Language of the case: Finnish)

(Provisional translation; the definitive translation will be published in the European Court Reports)

In Case C-333/00: Reference to the Court under Article 234 EC by the Tarkastuslautakunta (Finland) for a preliminary ruling in the proceedings pending before that court by Eila Päivikki Maaheimo, on the interpretation of Articles 4(1)(h), 10(a), 73 and 75 of Council Regulation (EEC) No 1408/71 of 14 June 1971 on the application of social security schemes to employed persons, to self-employed persons and to members of their families moving within the Community, as amended and updated by Council Regulation (EC) No 118/97 of 2 December 1996 (OJ 1997 L 28, p. 1), the Court (Sixth Chamber), composed of: R. Schintgen, President of the Second Chamber, acting for the President of the Sixth Chamber, V. Skouris, F. Macken, N. Colneric (Rapporteur) and J. N. Cunha Rodrigues, Judges; F. G. Jacobs, Advocate General; L. Hewlett, Administrator, for the Registrar, has given a judgment on 7 November 2002, in which it has ruled:

1. A benefit such as the home child-care allowance provided for by the Laki lasten kotihoidon ja yksityisen hoidon tuesta (Law No 1128/96 on home child-care allowance and private child-care allowance) is a family benefit within the meaning of Article 4(1)(h) of Council Regulation (EEC) No 1408/71 of 14 June 1971 on the application of social security schemes to employed persons, to self-employed persons and to members of their families moving within the Community, as amended and updated by Council Regulation (EC) No 118/97 of 2 December 1996.