Pleas in law and main arguments

The pleas in law and main arguments are similar to those relied on in Case C-407/00 (4); the time-limit for transposition expired on 13 February 1999, 31 March 1999 and 30 April 1999 respectively.

- (1) OJ 1998 L 208, p. 49.
- (2) OJ 1998 L 261, p. 32.
- (3) OJ 1998 L 290, p. 25.
- (4) See p. 13 of this Official Journal.

Action brought on 9 November 2000 by the Commission of the European Communities against the Kingdom of the Netherlands

(Case C-413/00)

(2001/C 28/28)

An action against the Kingdom of the Netherlands was brought before the Court of Justice of the European Communities on 9 November 2000 by the Commission of the European Communities, represented by B. Mongin and H. M. H. Speyart, of its Legal Service, acting as Agents, with an address for service in Luxembourg at the office of C. Gómez de la Cruz, of its Legal Service, Wagner Centre, Kirchberg.

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- 1. Declare that, by not adopting the laws, regulations and administrative provisions necessary to comply with Council Directive 98/41/EC(1) of 18 June 1998 on the registration of persons sailing on board passenger ships operating to or from ports of the Member States of the Community, or in any event by not informing the Commission of such measures, the Kingdom of the Netherlands has failed to fulfil its obligations under that directive.
- 2. Order the Kingdom of the Netherlands to pay the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

The pleas in law and main arguments are similar to those in Case $C-407/00(^2)$; the period for transposition expired on 1 January 1999.

Action brought on 10 November 2000 by the Commission of the European Communities against the Portuguese Republic

(Case C-414/00)

(2001/C 28/29)

An action against the Portuguese Republic was brought before the Court of Justice of the European Communities on 10 November 2000 by the Commission of the European Communities, represented by Ana Maria Alves Vieira, of its Legal Service, acting as Agent, with an address for service in Luxembourg at the office of Carlos Gómez de la Cruz, of its Legal Service, Wagner Centre, Kirchberg.

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- Declare that, by failing to bring into force within the prescribed period all the provisions necessary to comply with Council Directive 97/78/EC(1) of 18 December 1997 laying down the principles governing the organisation of veterinary checks on products entering the Community from third countries, the Portuguese Republic has failed to fulfil its obligations under Articles 10 and 249 of the EC Treaty; and
- Order the Portuguese Republic to pay the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

The pleas in law and main arguments are similar to those relied on in Case C-407/00 (2); the time-limit for transposition of the directive expired on 1 June 1999.

(1) OJ 1998 L 24, p. 9.

(2) See p. 13 of this Official Journal.

Reference for a preliminary ruling by the Unabhängiger Verwaltungssenat Salzburg by order of that court of 9 November 2000 in the appeal concerning (1) Dr Herbert Pflanzl, (2) Bürgermeister der Landeshauptstadt Salzburg, (3) Grundverkehrsbeauftragter des Landes Salzburg and (4) Grundverkehrslandeskommission des Landes Salzburg

(Case C-415/00)

(2001/C 28/30)

Reference has been made to the Court of Justice of the European Communities by order of the Unabhängiger Verwaltungssenat Salzburg of 9 November 2000, received at the Court Registry on 13 November 2000, for a preliminary ruling in the appeal concerning (1) Dr Herbert Pflanzl, (2) Bürgermeister der Landeshauptstadt Salzburg, (3) Grundverkehrsbeauftragter des Landes Salzburg and (4) Grundverkehrslandeskommission des Landes Salzburg on the following question:

⁽¹⁾ OJ 1998 L 188, p. 35.

⁽²⁾ See p. 13 of this Official Journal.