

Registrar, made an order on 7 March 2000, the operative part of which is as follows:

*The total amount of the costs to be reimbursed by Industrie des Poudres Sphériques to the intervener Péchiney Électrométallurgie is fixed at FRF 207 507,50.*

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 54 of 4.3.1995.

## ORDER OF THE COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE

of 24 February 2000

**in Case T-104/99: AS Bolderaja and Others v Council of the European Union<sup>(1)</sup>**

**(Action for annulment — Dumping — Regulation (EC) No 194/1999 — Time-limit — Inadmissibility)**

(2000/C 149/61)

*(Language of the case: English)*

In Case T-104/99: AS Bolderaja, established in Riga (Latvia), Zakłady Płyt Pilśniowych SA w Krośnie Odrzańskim, established in Krosno Odrzańskie (Poland), Alpex-Karlino SA w Karlino, established in Karlino (Poland) and Zakłady Płyt Pilśniowych SA w Czarnej Wodzie, established in Czarna Woda (Poland) represented by V.N. Akritidis, of the Athens Bar, and T. Pick, Rechtsanwalt, Bonn, with an address for service in Luxembourg at the Chambers of Arendt and Medernach, 8-10 Rue Mathias Hardt v Council of the European Union (Agents: S. Marquardt, H.-J. Rabe, G.M. Berrisch and H.-G. Kamann) — application for the annulment of Council Regulation (EC) No 194/1999 of 25 January 1999 imposing definitive anti-dumping duties on imports of hardboard originating in Bulgaria, Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania, Poland and Russia and definitively collecting the provisional duties imposed (OJ 1999 L 22, p. 16) — the Court (Fifth Chamber, Extended Composition), composed of: R. García-Valdecasas, President, P. Lindh, J.D. Cooke, P. Mengozzi and M. Vilaras, Judges; H. Jung, Registrar, has given a judgment on 24 February 2000, the operative part of which is as follows:

1. *The action is dismissed as inadmissible.*
2. *There is no need to adjudicate on the Commission's application for leave to intervene.*
3. *The applicants are ordered to bear their own costs and, jointly and severally, to pay the costs of the Council save for those relating to the preparation and submission of its defence.*

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 226 of 7.8.99.

## ORDER OF THE COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE

of 24 February 2000

**in Case T-162/99: Luigia Dricot-Daniele and others v the Commission of the European Communities<sup>(1)</sup>**

**(Action for annulment — Withdrawal of contested measure — No need to adjudicate)**

(2000/C 149/62)

*(Language of the case: French)*

In Case T-162/99: Luigia Dricot-Daniele, residing in Overijse (Belgium), Patricia De Palma, residing in Brussels, and Claudine Hamptaux, residing in Brussels, officials of the Commission of the European Communities, represented by L. Vogel, of the Brussels Bar, with an address for service at the Chambers of C. Kremer, of Faltz et Associés, 6 Rue Heinrich Heine, against Commission of the European Communities (Agents: G. Valsecia and J. Currall) — application for annulment of the elections held on 9, 10 and 11 March 1999 to the Staff Committee of the local Brussels section and the appointments made by that committee following those elections — the Court of First Instance (Fifth Chamber), composed of R. García-Valdecasas, President, and P. Lindh and J.D. Cooke, Judges; H. Jung, Registrar, has given a judgment on 24 February 2000, the operative part of which is as follows:

1. *There is no need to adjudicate on this application.*
2. *The Commission is ordered to pay the costs of these proceedings including the costs relating to the application for interim measures.*

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 281 of 2.10.1999.

## ORDER OF THE COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE

of 16 March 2000

**in Case T-262/99: Anthony Goldstein v Commission of the European Communities<sup>(1)</sup>**

**(Action for compensation — Manifest inadmissibility — Action manifestly lacking any foundation in law)**

(2000/C 149/63)

*(Language of the case: English)*

In Case T-262/99: Anthony Goldstein, residing in Harrow, Middlesex (United Kingdom), represented by R. St. John