

**Action brought on 27 October 1999 by Jean Dehon  
against the European Parliament**

**(Case T-261/99)**

(2000/C 6/63)

*(Language of the Case: French)*

An action against the European Parliament was brought before the Court of First Instance of the European Communities on 27 October 1999 by Jean Dehon, resident in Hagen, Luxembourg, represented by Jean-Noël Louis, Greta-Françoise Parmentier and Véronique Peere, of the Brussels Bar, with an address for service in Luxembourg at the offices of Société de Gestion Fiduciaire SGF, 2-4 Rue Beck.

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the decision of the European Parliament rejecting his application for the post, at the grade of LA 3, of Deputy Head of the French Translation Division;
- annul the decision of the European Parliament to appoint another candidate to that post;
- order the European Parliament to pay the costs.

*Pleas in law and main arguments*

In support of his action, the applicant submits that the European Parliament:

- failed to comply with the vacancy notice, Article 45 of the Staff Regulations and the principles of career progression and of equal treatment, by appointing a candidate who did not possess all the qualifications required by the vacancy notice;
- manifestly erred in its assessment in considering that the two candidates for the vacant post were of equivalent merit; and
- infringed Article 25 of the Staff Regulations by not providing the applicant with the information to enable him to examine the justification for the contested decision and in particular the reason why he was not appointed to the post which he had already occupied, to the very great satisfaction of his line management, on the occasions when the Head of Division was absent.

**Action brought on 29 October 1999 by Algemene service-  
en verkoopmaatschappij Arnhemse Poort B.V. against the  
Commission of the European Communities**

**(Case T-265/99)**

(2000/C 6/64)

*(Language of the Case: Dutch)*

An action against the Commission of the European Communities was brought before the Court of First Instance of the European Communities on 29 October 1999 by Algemene service- en verkoopmaatschappij Arnhemse Poort B.V., of Arnhem (Netherlands), represented by J.P.A. Greuters, of the Arnhem Bar.

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- (a) annul the Commission's decision (C(1999) 2539 final)<sup>(1)</sup> of 20 July 1999 concerning State aid granted by the Netherlands to 633 service stations in the region bordering Germany, or at least declare it partially void in so far as the applicant is classified in the 'dealer-owned/dealer-operated' category with a clause relating to a system of price controls;
- (b) order the Commission to pay the costs.

*Pleas in law and main arguments*

This case is linked to Case T-210/99. The applicant pleads failure to comply with the obligation to provide a statement of reasons, on account of an essentially incorrect determination of the facts.

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ L 280 of 30.10.1999, p. 87.

**Action brought on 27 October 1999 by Autobedrijf  
Chr. Kerres B.V. against the Commission of the European  
Communities**

**(Case T-263/99)**

(2000/C 6/65)

*(Language of the Case: Dutch)*

An action against the Commission of the European Communities was brought before the Court of First Instance of the European Communities on 27 October 1999 by Autobedrijf Chr. Kerres B.V., of Kerkrade (Netherlands), represented by W.C.G.J. Sterk, of the Heerlen Bar.