

**Action brought on 7 April 1997 by Patrick Salez against the Commission of the European Communities**

(Case T-92/97)

(97/C 166/51)

*(Language of the case: French)*

An action against the Commission of the European Communities was brought before the Court of First Instance of the European Communities on 7 April 1997 by Patrick Salez, residing in Brussels, represented by Nicolas Lhoëst, of the Brussels Bar, with an address for service in Luxembourg at the offices of Fiduciaire Myson Sarl, 30 Rue de Cessange.

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the Commission's decision of 18 June 1996 rejecting the applicant's request that it give consideration to the possible application of Article 31 (2) of the Staff Regulations,
- annul, in so far as may be necessary, the decision adopted by the Commission on 27 December 1996 expressly rejecting the applicant's complaint,
- order the defendant to pay all the costs.

*Pleas in law and main arguments adduced in support:*

The pleas in law and main arguments are the same as in Case T-16/97 <sup>(1)</sup>.

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ No C 74, 8. 3. 1997, p. 27.

**Action brought on 7 April 1997 by Minh-Hong Pham against the Commission of the European Communities**

(Case T-93/97)

(97/C 166/52)

*(Language of the case: French)*

An action against the Commission of the European Communities was brought before the Court of First Instance of the European Communities on 7 April 1997 by Minh-Hong Pham, residing in Brussels (Belgium), represented by Nicolas Lhoëst, of the Brussels Bar, with an address for service in Luxembourg at the offices of Fiduciaire Myson Sarl, 30 Rue de Cessange.

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the Commission's decision of 27 June 1996 rejecting the applicant's request that it give consideration to the possible application of Article 31 (2) of the Staff Regulations,
- annul, in so far as may be necessary, the decision adopted by the Commission on 27 December 1996 expressly rejecting the applicant's complaint,

- order the defendant to pay all the costs.

*Pleas in law and main arguments adduced in support:*

The pleas in law and main arguments are the same as in Case T-16/97 <sup>(1)</sup>.

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ No C 74, 8. 3. 1997, p. 27.

**Action brought on 7 April 1997 by Brigitte Nau against the Commission of the European Communities**

(Case T-94/97)

(97/C 166/53)

*(Language of the case: French)*

An action against the Commission of the European Communities was brought before the Court of First Instance of the European Communities on 7 April 1997 by Brigitte Nau, residing at Strombeek-Bever (Belgium), represented by Nicolas Lhoëst, of the Brussels Bar, with an address for service in Luxembourg at the offices of Fiduciaire Myson Sarl, 30 Rue de Cessange.

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the Commission's decision of 18 June 1996 rejecting the applicant's request that it give consideration to the possible application of Article 31 (2) of the Staff Regulations,
- annul, in so far as may be necessary, the decision adopted by the Commission on 27 December 1996 expressly rejecting the applicant's complaint,
- order the defendant to pay all the costs.

*Pleas in law and main arguments adduced in support:*

The pleas in law and main arguments are the same as in Case T-16/97 <sup>(1)</sup>.

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ No C 74, 8. 3. 1997, p. 27.

**Action brought on 7 April 1997 by Marie Louise Brichard against the Commission of the European Communities**

(Case T-95/97)

(97/C 166/54)

*(Language of the case: French)*

An action against the Commission of the European Communities was brought before the Court of First Instance of the European Communities on 7 April 1997 by Marie Louise Brichard, residing in Brussels, represented