

Inapplicability of the Regulation to a notified operation**(Case No IV/M.735 — BPB/Isover)**

(96/C 226/08)

(Text with EEA relevance)

On 3 July 1996, the Commission decided that the notified operation in the above case does not fall within the scope of the application of the Merger Regulation because it does not constitute a concentration within the meaning of Article 3 of the said Regulation. This decision is based on Article 6 (1) (a) of the Merger Regulation. The full text of the decision is available only in English and will be made public after it is cleared of any business secrets it may contain. It will be available:

- as a paper version through the sales offices of the Office for Official Publications of the European Communities (see list on the last page),
- in electronic form in the 'CEN' version of the Celex database, under document No 396M0735. Celex is the computerized documentation system of European Community law; for more information concerning subscriptions please contact:

EUR-OP,
Information, Marketing and Public Relations (OP/4B),
2, rue Mercier,
L-2985 Luxembourg,
tel.: (352) 29 29-4 24 55, fax: (352) 29 29-4 27 63.

Commission notice

(96/C 226/09)

The attention of interested parties is drawn to the consequences of Commission Regulation (EC) No 1222/96 of 28 June 1996 amending Regulation (EEC) No 3846/87 establishing an agricultural product nomenclature for export refunds⁽¹⁾.

The Regulation provides that, from 1 January 1997, an additional digit will be added after the eighth digit in the refund nomenclature code in order to bring the refund nomenclature subheadings into line with the additional four-digit code system currently used in the Taric (integrated tariff). The new digit which will serve to identify the refund nomenclature subheadings in the Taric will be 9. The last three digits of the refund nomenclature remain unchanged.

In addition, this Regulation provides that, from 1 January 1997, the refund nomenclature codes must be indicated in box 33 of the SAD (single administrative document).

⁽¹⁾ OJ No L 161, 29. 6. 1996, p. 62.