

*Procedure before EUIPO: Opposition proceedings*

*Contested decision: Decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 13 March 2023 in Case R 2064/2022-4*

### **Form of order sought**

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision and order the registration of the trade mark at issue for the contested goods;
- order the other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal to bear the applicant's costs for the proceedings, including the opposition, the appeal proceedings and the proceedings concerning the application before the General Court.

### **Plea in law**

- Infringement of Article 8(1) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 of the European Parliament and of the Council.

---

## **Action brought on 31 May 2023 — Coinbase v EUIPO (C)**

**(Case T-304/23)**

(2023/C 252/95)

*Language of the case: English*

### **Parties**

*Applicant:* Coinbase, Inc. (Oakland, California, United-States) (represented by: M. Zintler, N. Schmidt-Hamkens and F. Stoll, lawyers)

*Defendant:* European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

### **Details of the proceedings before EUIPOs**

*Trade mark at issue:* International registration designating the European Union in respect of the figurative mark C — Application for registration No 1 629 156

*Contested decision:* Decision of the First Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 30 March 2023 in Case R 2001/2022-1

### **Form of order sought**

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision;
- declare that Article 7(1)(b) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 of the European Parliament and of the Council does not preclude the registration of the trade mark at issue in respect of the claimed goods and services;
- order EUIPO to pay the costs.

### **Pleas in law**

- Infringement of Article 7(1)(b) Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 of the European Parliament and of the Council;
  - Infringement of the principles of equal treatment and sound administration.
-