

Fifth plea in law, alleging infringement of general principles of EU law: legal certainty, legitimate expectations and legality.

Action brought on 12 November 2022 — Everblacks Towage v Commission

(Case T-703/22)

(2023/C 24/79)

Language of the case: Portuguese

Parties

Applicant: Everblacks Towage — Serviços Marítimos, Sociedade Unipessoal, Lda (Zona Franca da Madeira) (Funchal, Portugal) (represented by: A. Ferreira Correia and R. da Palma Borges, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the General Court should:

- annul the contested decision in respect of Articles 1 and 4;
- order the defendant institution to pay the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

In support of the action brought against Commission Decision (EU) 2022/1414 of 4 December 2020 on aid scheme SA.21259 (2018/C) (ex 2018/NN) implemented by Portugal for Zona Franca da Madeira (ZFM) — Regime III (notified under document C(2020) 8550) (OJ 2022 L 217, p. 49), the applicant relies on six pleas in law that are, essentially, identical or similar to those relied on in Case T-702/22, *TA v Commission*.

Action brought on 12 November 2022 — Poppysle v Commission

(Case T-704/22)

(2023/C 24/80)

Language of the case: Portuguese

Parties

Applicant: Poppysle — Comércio Internacional e Serviços, Sociedade Unipessoal, Lda (Zona Franca da Madeira) (Funchal, Portugal) (represented by: A. Ferreira Correia and R. da Palma Borges, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the General Court should:

- annul the contested decision in respect of Articles 1 and 4;
- order the defendant institution to pay the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

In support of the action brought against Commission Decision (EU) 2022/1414 of 4 December 2020 on aid scheme SA.21259 (2018/C) (ex 2018/NN) implemented by Portugal for Zona Franca da Madeira (ZFM) — Regime III (notified under document C(2020) 8550) (OJ 2022 L 217, p. 49), the applicant relies on six pleas in law that are, essentially, identical or similar to those relied on in Case T-702/22, *TA v Commission*.
