

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul Commission Decision C(2020) 8550 final of 4 December 2020, on aid scheme SA.21259 (2018/C) (ex 2018/NN) implemented by Portugal for Zona Franca da Madeira (ZFM) — Regime III;
- order the Commission to pay the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

In support of the action, the applicant relies on five pleas in law which, in essence, are identical or similar to those raised in Case T-553/22, *Thorn Investments v Commission*.

Action brought on 12 November 2022 — Fontwell v Commission**(Case T-691/22)**

(2023/C 35/81)

*Language of the case: Portuguese***Parties**

Applicant: Fontwell — Import, Export, Unipessoal, Lda (Zona Franca da Madeira) (Funchal, Portugal) (represented by: S. Gemas Donário and S. Soares, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the General Court should:

- annul Commission Decision C(2020) 8550 final of 4 December 2020 on aid scheme SA.21259 (2018/C) (ex 2018/NN) implemented by Portugal for Zona Franca da Madeira (ZFM) — Regime III;
- order the Commission to pay the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

In support of the action, the applicant relies on five pleas in law that are, essentially, identical or similar to those relied on in Case T-553/22, *Thorn Investments v Commission*.

Action brought on 12 November 2022 — Mission v Commission**(Case T-700/22)**

(2023/C 35/82)

*Language of the case: Portuguese***Parties**

Applicant: Mission — Trading, Gestão e Serviços, Unipessoal, Lda (Zona Franca da Madeira) (Funchal, Portugal) (represented by: S. Gemas Donário and S. Soares, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the General Court should:

- annul Commission Decision C(2020) 8550 final of 4 December 2020 on aid scheme SA.21259 (2018/C) (ex 2018/NN) implemented by Portugal for Zona Franca da Madeira (ZFM) — Regime III;
- order the Commission to pay the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

In support of the action, the applicant relies on five pleas in law that are, essentially, identical or similar to those relied on in Case T-553/22, *Thorn Investments v Commission*.

Action brought on 13 November 2022 — Durie v Commission**(Case T-705/22)**

(2023/C 35/83)

*Language of the case: Portuguese***Parties**

Applicant: Durie — Trading e Serviços Internacionais, Sociedade Unipessoal, Lda (Zona Franca da Madeira) (Funchal, Portugal) (represented by: A. Ferreira Correia and R. da Palma Borges, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul Articles 1 and 4 of the contested decision;
- order the defendant institution to pay the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

In support of the action against Commission Decision (EU) 2022/1414 of 4 December 2020, on aid scheme SA.21259 (2018/C) (ex 2018/NN) implemented by Portugal for Zona Franca da Madeira (ZFM) — Regime III (notified under document C(2020) 8550) (OJ 2022 L 217, p. 49), the applicant relies on six pleas in law which, in essence, are identical or similar to those raised in Case T-702/22, *TA v Commission*.

Action brought on 14 November 2022 — Ostrava v Commission**(Case T-707/22)**

(2023/C 35/84)

*Language of the case: Portuguese***Parties**

Applicant: Ostrava — Trading e Serviços Internacionais, Sociedade Unipessoal, Lda (Funchal, Portugal) (represented by: S. Gemas Donário and S. Soares, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission