

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the General Court should:

- annul Commission Decision C(2020) 8550 final of 4 December 2020 on aid scheme SA.21259 (2018/C) (ex 2018/NN) implemented by Portugal for Zona Franca da Madeira (ZFM) — Regime III;
- order the Commission to pay the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

In support of the action, the applicant relies on five pleas in law that are, essentially, identical or similar to those relied on in Case T-553/22, *Thorn Investments v Commission*.

Action brought on 12 November 2022 — Ommiacrest v Commission**(Case T-697/22)**

(2023/C 24/74)

*Language of the case: Portuguese***Parties**

Applicant: Ommiacrest Trading, Lda (Zona Franca da Madeira) (Funchal, Portugal) (represented by: S. Gemas Donário and S. Soares, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the General Court should:

- annul Commission Decision C(2020) 8550 final of 4 December 2020 on aid scheme SA.21259 (2018/C) (ex 2018/NN) implemented by Portugal for Zona Franca da Madeira (ZFM) — Regime III;
- order the Commission to pay the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

In support of the action, the applicant relies on five pleas in law that are, essentially, identical or similar to those relied on in Case T-553/22, *Thorn Investments v Commission*.

Action brought on 12 November 2022 — Swan Lake v Commission**(Case T-698/22)**

(2023/C 24/75)

*Language of the case: Portuguese***Parties**

Applicant: Swan Lake Serviços e Consultores, Sociedade Unipessoal, Lda (Zona Franca da Madeira) (Funchal, Portugal) (represented by: S. Gemas Donário and S. Soares, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the General Court should:

- annul Commission Decision C(2020) 8550 final of 4 December 2020 on aid scheme SA.21259 (2018/C) (ex 2018/NN) implemented by Portugal for Zona Franca da Madeira (ZFM) — Regime III;
- order the Commission to pay the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

In support of the action, the applicant relies on five pleas in law that are, essentially, identical or similar to those relied on in Case T-553/22, *Thorn Investments v Commission*.

Action brought on 12 November 2022 — Seamist v Commission**(Case T-699/22)**

(2023/C 24/76)

*Language of the case: Portuguese***Parties**

Applicant: Seamist, Lda (Zona Franca da Madeira) (Funchal, Portugal) (represented by: S. Gemas Donário and S. Soares, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the General Court should:

- annul Commission Decision C(2020) 8550 final of 4 December 2020 on aid scheme SA.21259 (2018/C) (ex 2018/NN) implemented by Portugal for Zona Franca da Madeira (ZFM) — Regime III;
- order the Commission to pay the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

In support of the action, the applicant relies on five pleas in law that are, essentially, identical or similar to those relied on in Case T-553/22, *Thorn Investments v Commission*.

Action brought on 12 November 2022 — Pamastock Investments v Commission**(Case T-701/22)**

(2023/C 24/77)

*Language of the case: Portuguese***Parties**

Applicant: Pamastock Investments, SA (Zona Franca da Madeira) (Funchal, Portugal) (represented by: S. Gemas Donário and S. Soares, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission