

Action brought on 4 October 2022 — SB v EEAS**(Case T-621/22)**

(2022/C 441/40)

*Language of the case: French***Parties***Applicant:* SB (represented by: L. Burguin, T. Bontinck and A. Guillerme, lawyers)*Defendant:* European External Action Service (EEAS)**Form of order sought**

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- find that the EEAS is liable;
- order the EEAS to pay the sum of EUR 80 000 in respect of non-material harm and EUR 720 000 in respect of material harm;
- order the defence to bear the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

In support of the action against the two decisions of 10 November 2021 rejecting the applicant's applications for the positions of Head of Delegation of the European Union, first, in [confidential] ⁽¹⁾ and, second, in [confidential], the applicant relies on four pleas in law.

1. First plea in law, alleging a manifest error of assessment.
2. Second plea in law, alleging violation of the principle of legitimate expectations.
3. Third plea in law, alleging violation of the principle of equal treatment.
4. Fourth plea in law, alleging the existence of a misuse of powers.

⁽¹⁾ Confidential information omitted.

Action brought on 6 October 2022 — Van Oosterwijck v Commission**(Case T-622/22)**

(2022/C 441/41)

*Language of the case: French***Parties***Applicant:* Viviane Van Oosterwijck (Kontich, Belgium) (represented by: F. Moyse, lawyer)*Defendant:* European Commission**Form of order sought**

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the decision of 7 July 2022 and to the extent necessary the decision of 15 December 2021, decisions by which the Commission refused to grant a survivor's pension to the applicant;