

*Defendant:* European Union Intellectual Property Office (represented by: L. Lapinskaite and T. Klee, acting as Agents)

**Re:**

By its action under Article 263 TFEU, the applicant seeks the annulment of the decision of the Second Board of Appeal of the European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO) of 6 October 2021 (Case R 1165/2021-2).

**Operative part of the order**

1. The action is dismissed.
2. Fieldpoint (Cyprus) LTD shall pay the costs.

---

(<sup>1</sup>) OJ C 73, 14.2.2022.

---

**Action brought on 21 September 2022 — Ferreira de Macedo Silva v Frontex**

**(Case T-595/22)**

(2023/C 35/78)

*Language of the case: English*

**Parties**

*Applicant:* Carlos Miguel Ferreira de Macedo Silva (Cercal do Alentejo, Portugal) (represented by: L. Cosme Nunes Rolo, lawyer)

*Defendant:* European Border and Coast Guard Agency

**Form of order sought**

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the decision of 29 August 2022 of the Deputy Executive Director for Information Management and Processes of the European Border and Coast Guard Agency (Frontex), acting as Appointing Authority, to dismiss him before the end of probationary period as temporary staff of Standing Corps, Cat. I;
- order that Frontex, in case of impossible readmission in time in Batch 8, pay all costs related to the fulfilment of the five year contract, and legal expenses.

**Pleas in law and main arguments**

In support of the action, the applicant relies on two pleas in law.

1. First plea in law, alleging infringement of the principle of the protection of legitimate expectations, and violation of the principle of equal treatment in employment (reference: Council Directive 2000/78/EC of 27 November 2000). (<sup>1</sup>)
2. Second plea in law, alleging infringement of essential procedural requirements and also of the right to good administration and the right to be heard.

---

(<sup>1</sup>) Council Directive 2000/78/EC of 27 November 2000 establishing a general framework for equal treatment in employment and occupation (OJ 2000 L 303, p. 16).