

**Action brought on 22 September 2022 — Liquid Advertising v EUIPO — Liqui.do (Liquid+Arcade)****(Case T-592/22)**

(2022/C 424/64)

*Language in which the application was lodged: Polish***Parties***Applicant:* Liquid Advertising, Inc. (El Segundo, California, United States) (represented by: M. Czarnecki, lawyer)*Defendant:* European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)*Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal:* Liqui.do SA (Lisbon, Portugal)**Details of the proceedings before EUIPO***Applicant for the trade mark at issue:* Applicant*Trade mark at issue:* EU word mark 'Liquid+Arcade' — Application No 18 317 971*Proceedings before EUIPO:* Opposition proceedings*Contested decision:* Decision of the Second Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 28 June 2022 in Case R 2231/2021-2**Form of order sought**

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul, in their entirety, the contested decision and the decision of EUIPO's Opposition Division of 12 November 2021 or, in the alternative, amend the contested decision by upholding the appeal lodged by the applicant;
- make an appropriate order as to costs in the applicant's favour.

**Plea in law**

Infringement of Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 of the European Parliament and of the Council.

---

**Action brought on 26 September 2022 — Hypo Vorarlberg Bank v SRB****(Case T-599/22)**

(2022/C 424/65)

*Language of the case: German***Parties***Applicant:* Hypo Vorarlberg Bank AG (Bregenz, Austria) (represented by: G. Eisenberger and A. Brenneis, lawyers)*Defendant:* Single Resolution Board (SRB)