

*Defendant:* European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

*Other parties to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal:* Gábor Darvas (Budapest, Hungary), Dorina Pap (Kiskunhalas, Hungary)

### **Details of the proceedings before EUIPO**

*Applicants of the trade mark at issue:* Other parties to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal

*Trade mark at issue:* Application for European Union figurative LAAVA mark — Application for registration No 18 209 861

*Procedure before EUIPO:* Opposition proceedings

*Contested decision:* Decision of the Second Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 20 May 2022 in Case R 1745/2021-2

### **Form of order sought**

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision to the extent that it maintains the rejection of the opposition and orders the opponent/appellant to bear the costs of the opposition and appeal proceedings;
- order EUIPO to pay the costs incurred by Gürok Turizm ve Madencilik Anonim Sirketi;
- order Gábor Darvas and Dorina Pap to pay the costs incurred by Gürok Turizm ve Madencilik Anonim Sirketi.

### **Pleas in law**

- Infringement of Article 94 of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 of the European Parliament and of the Council;
- Infringement of Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 of the European Parliament and of the Council.

---

**Action brought on 3 August 2022 — Panicongelados-Massas Congeladas v EUIPO — Seder (panidor)**

**(Case T-480/22)**

(2022/C 359/115)

*Language in which the application was lodged: English*

### **Parties**

*Applicant:* Panicongelados-Massas Congeladas, SA (Leiria, Portugal) (represented by: I. Monteiro Alves, lawyer)

*Defendant:* European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

*Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal:* Seder Establishment ltd. (Mriehel Birkirkara, Malta)

### **Details of the proceedings before EUIPO**

*Applicant of the trade mark at issue:* Applicant before the General Court

*Trade mark at issue:* Application for European Union figurative mark panidor — Application for registration No 18 214 675

*Procedure before EUIPO:* Opposition proceedings

*Contested decision:* Decision of the Second Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 11 May 2022 in Case R 1946/2021-2

**Form of order sought**

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision;
- remit the trademark application to EUIPO for registration to proceed; and
- order EUIPO to pay the costs, including the costs of proceedings before the Board of Appeal.

**Plea in law**

- Infringement of Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 of the European Parliament and of the Council.

---

**Action brought on 5 August 2022 — Thomas Henry v EUIPO (MATE MATE)**

(Case T-482/22)

(2022/C 359/116)

*Language of the case: German*

**Parties**

*Applicant:* Thomas Henry GmbH (Berlin, Germany) (represented by: O. Spieker, D. Mienert and J. Si-Ha Selbmann, lawyers)

*Defendant:* European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

**Details of the proceedings before EUIPO**

*Trade mark at issue:* Application for EU word mark MATE — Application No 18 091 934

*Contested decision:* Decision of the First Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 12 May 2022 in Case R 406/2021-1

**Form of order sought**

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision;
- order EUIPO to pay the costs.

**Pleas in law**

- Infringement of Article 7(1)(c) in conjunction with Article 7(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 of the European Parliament and of the Council;
  - Infringement of Article 7(1)(b) in conjunction with Article 7(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 of the European Parliament and of the Council;
  - Infringement of Article 7(1)(g) in conjunction with Article 7(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 of the European Parliament and of the Council.
-