

6. Sixth plea in law, alleging infringement of the right to a normal life.
7. Seventh plea in law, alleging a serious infringement of the right to the protection of reputation.

⁽¹⁾ OJ 2022 L 148, p. 52.

⁽²⁾ OJ 2022 L 148, p. 8.

Action brought on 29 July 2022 — Mocom Compounds v EUIPO — Centemia Conseils (Near-to-Prime)

(Case T-472/22)

(2022/C 359/113)

Language in which the application was lodged: German

Parties

Applicant: Mocom Compounds GmbH & Co. KG (Hamburg, Germany) (represented by: J. Bornholdt, lawyer)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal: Centemia Conseils (Angevillers, France)

Details of the proceedings before EUIPO

Proprietor of the trade mark at issue: Applicant

Trade mark at issue: EU word mark 'Near-to-Prime' — Application No 16 448 524

Proceedings before EUIPO: Invalidity proceedings

Contested decision: Decision of the First Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 24 May 2022 in Case R 2178/2021-1

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision;
- amend the contested decision to the effect that Decision No 47 561 C of the Invalidity Division of 12 November 2021 is annulled and the application for a declaration of invalidity in respect of EU trade mark No 16 448 524 is dismissed;
- order EUIPO to pay the costs.

Plea in law

- Infringement of Article 7(1)(c) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 of the European Parliament and of the Council.

Action brought on 31 July 2022 — Gürok Turizm ve Madencilik v EUIPO — Darvas and Pap (LAAVA)

(Case T-473/22)

(2022/C 359/114)

Language in which the application was lodged: English

Parties

Applicant: Gürok Turizm ve Madencilik Anonim Sirketi (Kütahya, Turkey) (represented by: M. López Camba and A. Lyubomirova Geleva, lawyers)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

Other parties to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal: Gábor Darvas (Budapest, Hungary), Dorina Pap (Kiskunhalas, Hungary)

Details of the proceedings before EUIPO

Applicants of the trade mark at issue: Other parties to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal

Trade mark at issue: Application for European Union figurative LAAVA mark — Application for registration No 18 209 861

Procedure before EUIPO: Opposition proceedings

Contested decision: Decision of the Second Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 20 May 2022 in Case R 1745/2021-2

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision to the extent that it maintains the rejection of the opposition and orders the opponent/appellant to bear the costs of the opposition and appeal proceedings;
- order EUIPO to pay the costs incurred by Gürok Turizm ve Madencilik Anonim Sirketi;
- order Gábor Darvas and Dorina Pap to pay the costs incurred by Gürok Turizm ve Madencilik Anonim Sirketi.

Pleas in law

- Infringement of Article 94 of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 of the European Parliament and of the Council;
- Infringement of Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 of the European Parliament and of the Council.

Action brought on 3 August 2022 — Panicongelados-Massas Congeladas v EUIPO — Seder (panidor)

(Case T-480/22)

(2022/C 359/115)

Language in which the application was lodged: English

Parties

Applicant: Panicongelados-Massas Congeladas, SA (Leiria, Portugal) (represented by: I. Monteiro Alves, lawyer)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal: Seder Establishment Ltd. (Mriehel Birkirkara, Malta)

Details of the proceedings before EUIPO

Applicant of the trade mark at issue: Applicant before the General Court

Trade mark at issue: Application for European Union figurative mark panidor — Application for registration No 18 214 675

Procedure before EUIPO: Opposition proceedings

Contested decision: Decision of the Second Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 11 May 2022 in Case R 1946/2021-2