

Defendant: European Parliament (represented by: N. Görlitz and T. Lukácsi, acting as Agents)

Re:

By his application based on Articles 278 and 279 TFEU, the applicant seeks suspension of the operation of Decision D-301936 of the Co-Chairs of the Democracy Support and Election Coordination Group of 3 March 2022, which excluded him from all participation in the election observation delegations of the European Parliament until the end of his term of office as a Member of Parliament (2019-2024).

Operative part of the order

1. The application for interim relief is dismissed.
2. The costs are reserved.

Action brought on 18 May 2022 — VEB.RF v Council

(Case T-288/22)

(2022/C 318/54)

Language of the case: Spanish

Parties

Applicant: State Development Corporation 'VEB.RF' (Moscow, Russia) (represented by: J. Iriarte Ángel and E. Delage González, lawyers)

Defendant: Council of the European Union

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul Council Decision 2014/145/CFSP, ⁽¹⁾ of 17 March 2014 concerning restrictive measures in respect of actions undermining or threatening the territorial integrity, sovereignty and independence of Ukraine, as amended, ⁽²⁾ in so far as that decision refers to or may affect the applicant.
- annul Council Regulation (EU) 269/2014, ⁽³⁾ of 17 March 2014 concerning restrictive measures in respect of actions undermining or threatening the territorial integrity, sovereignty and independence of Ukraine, as amended, ⁽⁴⁾ in so far as that regulation refers to or may affect the applicant.
- annul Article 1(e), in conjunction with Annex VIII, of Council Decision 2014/512/CFSP ⁽⁵⁾ of 31 July 2014 concerning restrictive measures in view of Russia's actions destabilising the situation in Ukraine, as amended, ⁽⁶⁾ in so far as it refers to or may affect the applicant.
- annul Article 5h, in conjunction with Annex XIV, of Council Regulation (EU) 833/2014, ⁽⁷⁾ of 31 July 2014 concerning restrictive measures in view of Russia's actions destabilising the situation in Ukraine, as amended, ⁽⁸⁾ in so far as it refers to or may affect the applicant.
- order the Council to pay the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

In support of its action, the applicant relies on the following pleas in law:

1. Pleas relied on in support of the first two claims:
 - a) Manifest error of assessment of the facts on which the contested provisions are based.
 - b) Failure to fulfil the obligation to state reasons.

- c) Infringement of the right to effective judicial protection.
- d) Infringement of the right to property and the principle of proportionality.
- e) Infringement of the principle of equal treatment.
- f) Misuse of power.

2. Pleas relied on in support of the last two claims:

- a) Failure to fulfil the obligation to state reasons.
- b) Manifest error of assessment of the facts on which the contested provisions are based.
- c) Infringement of the right to effective judicial protection.
- d) Infringement of the right to property.
- e) Infringement of the principle of equal treatment.

⁽¹⁾ OJ 2014 L 78, p. 16.

⁽²⁾ Amended by Council Decision (CFSP) 2022/265 of 23 February 2022 amending Decision 2014/145/CFSP concerning restrictive measures in respect of actions undermining or threatening the territorial integrity, sovereignty and independence of Ukraine (OJ 2022 L 42I, p. 98).

⁽³⁾ OJ 2014 L 78, p. 6.

⁽⁴⁾ Amended by Council Implementing Regulation (EU) 2022/260 of 23 February 2022 implementing Regulation (EU) No 269/2014 concerning restrictive measures in respect of actions undermining or threatening the territorial integrity, sovereignty and independence of Ukraine (OJ 2022 L 42I, p. 3).

⁽⁵⁾ OJ 2014 L 229, p. 13.

⁽⁶⁾ Amended by Council Decision (CFSP) 2022/346 of 1 March 2022 amending Decision 2014/512/CFSP concerning restrictive measures in view of Russia's actions destabilising the situation in Ukraine (OJ 2022 L 63, p. 5).

⁽⁷⁾ OJ 2014 L 229, p. 1.

⁽⁸⁾ Amended by Council Regulation (EU) 2022/345 of 1 March 2022 amending Regulation (EU) No 833/2014 concerning restrictive measures in view of Russia's actions destabilising the situation in Ukraine (OJ 2022, L 63, p. 1).

Action brought on 18 May 2022 — Shuvalov v Council

(Case T-289/22)

(2022/C 318/55)

Language of the case: Spanish

Parties

Applicant: Igor Shuvalov (Moscow, Russia) (represented by: J. Iriarte Ángel and E. Delage González, lawyers)

Defendant: Council of the European Union

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul Council Decision 2014/145/CFSP of 17 March 2014 concerning restrictive measures in respect of actions undermining or threatening the territorial integrity, sovereignty and independence of Ukraine, ⁽¹⁾ in so far as it refers to or could affect the applicant.
- annul Council Regulation (EU) No 269/2014 of 17 March 2014 concerning restrictive measures in respect of actions undermining or threatening the territorial integrity, sovereignty and independence of Ukraine, ⁽²⁾ in so far as it refers to or could affect the applicant.
- order the Council to pay the costs.