Action brought on 28 January 2022 — Collard v Parliament and ID

(Case T-53/22)

(2022/C 158/11)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: Gilbert Collard (Vauvert, France) (represented by: B. Kuchukian, lawyer)

Defendants: European Parliament and the political group Identity and Democracy (ID)

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul, first, the provisional suspensory decision of 22 January 2022 and, second, the expulsion decision of 25 January 2022:
- order the immediate suspension of both decisions.

Pleas in law and main arguments

In support of the action seeking the annulment, first, of the decision of 22 January 2022 of the bureau of the political group Identity and Democracy (ID) suspending him from the group for a period of two months and, second, of the decision of 25 January 2022 of the political group Identity and Democracy (ID) expelling him from that group, the applicant complains that, as to form, those decisions do not comply with the basic disciplinary process and, as to substance, they have no valid basis.

Action brought on 28 January 2022 — Rivière v Parliament and ID

(Case T-54/22)

(2022/C 158/12)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: Jérôme Rivière (Paris, France) (represented by: B. Kuchukian, lawyer)

Defendants: European Parliament and the political group Identity and Democracy (ID)

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul first, the provisional suspensory decision of 21 January 2022 and, second, the expulsion decision of 25 January 2022;
- order the immediate suspension of both decisions.

Pleas in law and main arguments

In support of the action seeking the annulment, first, of the decision of 21 January 2022 of the bureau of the political group Identity and Democracy (ID) suspending him from the group for a period of two months and, second, of the decision of 25 January 2022 of the political group Identity and Democracy (ID) expelling him from that group, the applicant complains that, as to form, those decisions fail to comply with the basic disciplinary process and, as to substance, they have no valid basis.