

4. Must Article 3(1), Article 6 [...] and Article 7(1) of Council Directive 93/13/EEC ... be interpreted as meaning that, where more than one consumer concludes the same contract with a single seller or supplier, the same contractual terms may be regarded as unfair to the first consumer and fair to the second and, if so, may the consequence be that the contract is invalid as far as the first consumer is concerned and valid as far as the second consumer is concerned, such that he or she is subject to all the obligations arising from that contract?

(¹) OJ 1993 L 95, p. 29.

Appeal brought on 1 March 2022 by Mr Jörg Lück against the judgment of the General Court (Tenth Chamber) delivered on 15 December 2021 in Case T-188/21, Jörg Lück v European Union Intellectual Property Office

(Case C-145/22 P)

(2022/C 294/19)

Language of the case: German

Parties

Appellant: Jörg Lück (represented by: L. Becker, Rechtsanwalt)

Other parties to the proceedings: European Union Intellectual Property Office, R.H. Investment UG

By order of 17 June 2022, the Court of Justice of the European Union (Chamber determining whether appeals may proceed) decided that the appeal should not be allowed to proceed and ordered the appellant to bear his own costs.

Appeal brought on 2 March 2022 by Legero Schuhfabrik GmbH against the order of the General Court (Ninth Chamber) delivered on 15 December 2021 in Case T-684/20, Legero Schuhfabrik GmbH v European Union Intellectual Property Office

(Case C-152/22 P)

(2022/C 294/20)

Language of the case: German

Parties

Appellant: Legero Schuhfabrik GmbH (represented by: M. Gail, Rechtsanwalt)

Other parties to the proceedings: European Union Intellectual Property Office, Rieker Schuh AG

By order of 22 June 2022, the Court of Justice of the European Union (Chamber determining whether appeals may proceed) decided that the appeal should not be allowed to proceed and ordered the appellant to bear its own costs.

Appeal brought on 3 May 2022 by Chemours Netherlands BV against the judgment of the General Court (Eighth Chamber) delivered on 23 February 2022 in Case T-636/19, Chemours Netherlands v ECHA

(Case C-293/22 P)

(2022/C 294/21)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Appellant: Chemours Netherlands BV (represented by: R. Cana, H. Widemann and Z. Romata, avocates)

Other parties to the proceedings: European Chemicals Agency (ECHA), Kingdom of the Netherlands, ClientEarth, ClientEarth AISBL, CHEM Trust Europe eV