

2. a. Does the intention to make contact for the purpose of becoming better acquainted, exchanging views or negotiating the purchase of shares in the partnership suffice in order not to exceed the limits to prevent abuse of rights inherent in such an unrestricted right (1a) or to make an exception to the restriction applicable to a restricted right to information (1b)?
- b. Or is an interest in information potentially relevant only where its disclosure is requested with the express intention of contacting other partners in order to invite them to coordinate on specifically designated matters on which a consensus is needed for the purpose of partners' resolutions?

---

(<sup>1</sup>) Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Directive 95/46/EC (General Data Protection Regulation) (OJ 2016 L 119, p. 1).

---

**Request for a preliminary ruling from the Sąd Okręgowy w Opolu (Poland) lodged on 7 January 2022 — OP**

**(Case C-21/22)**

(2022/C 198/29)

*Language of the case: Polish*

**Referring court**

Sąd Okręgowy w Opolu

**Parties to the main proceedings**

*Applicant:* OP

*Other party to the proceedings:* Notariusz Justyna Gawlica

**Questions referred**

1. Must Article 22 [of Regulation No 650/2012] be interpreted as meaning that a person who is not a citizen of the European Union is entitled to choose the law of his or her native country as the law governing all matters relating to succession? (<sup>1</sup>)
2. Must Article 75, in conjunction with Article 22, of Regulation No 650/2012 be interpreted as meaning that, in the case where a bilateral agreement between a Member State and a third country does not govern the choice of law applicable to a case involving succession but indicates the law applicable to that case involving succession, a national of that third country residing in a Member State bound by that bilateral agreement may make a choice of law?

---

(<sup>1</sup>) OJ 2012 L 201, p. 107.

---

**Request for a preliminary ruling from the Sąd Najwyższy (Poland) lodged on 7 January 2022 — T. S.A. v Przewodniczący Krajowej Rady Radiofonii i Telewizji**

**(Case C-22/22)**

(2022/C 198/30)

*Language of the case: Polish*

**Referring court**

Sąd Najwyższy