Parties to the main proceedings

Applicant: VN

Defendant: Belgische Staat

Operative part of the order

Article 56 TFEU and Article 36 of the Agreement on the European Economic Area of 2 May 1992 must be interpreted as meaning that they preclude national legislation establishing a tax exemption scheme which, although applicable without distinction to remuneration relating to savings deposits held with domestic and foreign credit institutions, subjects the exemption of income from savings deposits held with credit institutions established in other Member States of the European Union or the European Economic Area to the satisfaction of conditions which must be similar to those set out in that national legislation, which are de facto specific to the national market.

(1) OJ C 213, 30.5.2022.

Order of the Court (Tenth Chamber) of 6 June 2023 (request for a preliminary ruling from the Okresný súd Prešov — Slovakia) — Rozhlas a televízia Slovenska v CI

(Case C-669/22, (1) Rozhlas a televízia Slovenska)

(Reference for a preliminary ruling — Article 53(2) and Article 94 of the Rules of Procedure of the Court of Justice — Directive 93/13/EEC — Unfair terms in consumer contracts — Scope — Statement of the reasons justifying the need for an interpretation of certain provisions of European Union law by the Court of Justice and of the relationship between those provisions and the national legislation applicable — Insufficient information — Manifest inadmissibility)

(2023/C 271/15)

Language of the case: Slovak

Referring court

Okresný súd Prešov

Parties to the main proceedings

Applicant: Rozhlas a televízia Slovenska

Defendant: CI

Operative part of the order

The request for a preliminary ruling made by the Okresný súd Prešov (District Court, Prešov, Slovakia), by decision of 8 September 2022, is manifestly inadmissible.

(1) Date lodged: 24.10.2022.

Appeal brought on 13 January 2023 by Autoramiksas UAB against the order of the General Court (Eighth Chamber) delivered on 10 November 2022 in Case T-374/22, Autoramiksas v Commission

(Case C-12/23 P)

(2023/C 271/16)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Appellant: Autoramiksas UAB (represented by: G. Valantiejus, advokatas)

Other party to the proceedings: European Commission