

Parties to the main proceedings

Applicants: Enniskerry Alliance, Enniskerry Demesne Management Company CLG and Protect East Meath Limited

Defendants: An Bord Pleanála, the Attorney General, Ireland and Louth County Council

Operative part of the order

By Order of 8 December 2022, the President of the Ninth Chamber ordered the removal of Case C-464/22 from the register.

⁽¹⁾ Date lodged: 11.7.2022.

Order of the Court (Ninth Chamber) of 8 December 2022 (request for a preliminary ruling from the High Court — Ireland) — Save Roscam Peninsula CLG, SC-F, MF, PH, Abbey Park and District Residents Association Baldoyle v An Bord Pleanála, Galway City Council, the Minister for Housing, Local Government and Heritage, Ireland, the Attorney General and Fingal County Council

(Case C-543/22) ⁽¹⁾

(Aarhus Convention — Access to justice — Requirement for a procedure which is not prohibitively expensive — Scope — Obligation to interpret in accordance with the national procedural law)

(2023/C 63/16)

Language of the case: English

Referring court

High Court (Ireland)

Parties to the main proceedings

Applicants: Save Roscam Peninsula CLG, SC-F, MF, PH, Abbey Park and District Residents Association Baldoyle

Defendants: An Bord Pleanála, Galway City Council, the Minister for Housing, Local Government and Heritage, Ireland, the Attorney General and Fingal County Council

Operative part of the order

By Order of 8 December 2022, the President of the Ninth Chamber ordered the removal of Case C-543/22 from the register.

⁽¹⁾ Date lodged: 11.8.2022.

Order of the Court (Ninth Chamber) of 8 December 2022 (request for a preliminary ruling from the High Court — Ireland) — GY v An Bord Pleanála, the Minister for Housing, Local Government and Heritage, Ireland and the Attorney General

(Case C-616/22) ⁽¹⁾

(Aarhus Convention — Access to justice — Requirement for a procedure which is not prohibitively expensive — Scope — Obligation to interpret in accordance with the national procedural law)

(2023/C 63/17)

Language of the case: English

Referring court

High Court (Ireland)

Parties to the main proceedings

Applicant: GY

Defendants: An Bord Pleanála, the Minister for Housing, Local Government and Heritage, Ireland and the Attorney General

Operative part of the order

By Order of 8 December 2022, the President of the Ninth Chamber ordered the removal of Case C-616/22 from the register.

⁽¹⁾ Date lodged: 23.9.2022.

**Request for a preliminary ruling from the Tribunale Amministrativo Regionale per il Lazio (Italy)
lodged on 19 October 2022 — Airbnb Ireland UC v Autorità per le Garanzie nelle Comunicazioni**

(Case C-662/22)

(2023/C 63/18)

Language of the case: Italian

Referring court

Tribunale Amministrativo Regionale per il Lazio

Parties to the main proceedings

Applicant: Airbnb Ireland UC

Defendant: Autorità per le Garanzie nelle Comunicazioni

Questions referred

1. Does Regulation (EU) 2019/1150 ⁽¹⁾ preclude a national provision that, in order to promote fairness and transparency for business users of online intermediation services, including by adopting guidelines, encouraging codes of conduct to be drawn up and gathering relevant information, requires providers of online intermediation services and providers of online search engines to be entered in a register, which involves the communication of relevant information about their organisation and payment of a financial contribution, a failure to comply with which results in the imposition of penalties?
2. Does Directive (EU) 2015/1535 ⁽²⁾ oblige Member States to notify the Commission of measures that require providers of online intermediation services and providers of online search engines to be entered in a register, which involves the communication of relevant information about their organisation and payment of a financial contribution, a failure to comply with which results in the imposition of penalties? If so, does the directive allow a private individual to object to measures not notified to the Commission being applied to him or her?
3. Does Article 3 of Directive 2000/31/EC ⁽³⁾ preclude the adoption by national authorities of provisions that, in order to promote fairness and transparency for business users of online intermediation services, including by adopting guidelines, encouraging codes of conduct to be drawn up and gathering relevant information, impose additional administrative and financial obligations on operators established in another European country, such as entry in a register, which involves the communication of relevant information about their organisation and payment of a financial contribution, a failure to comply with which results in the imposition of penalties?
4. Does the principle of freedom to provide services laid down in Article 56 TFEU and Article 16 of Directive 2006/123/EC ⁽⁴⁾ preclude the adoption by national authorities of provisions that, in order to promote fairness and transparency for business users of online intermediation services, including by adopting guidelines, encouraging codes of conduct to be drawn up and gathering relevant information, impose additional administrative and financial obligations on operators established in another European country, such as entry in a register, which involves the communication of relevant information about their organisation and payment of a financial contribution, a failure to comply with which results in the imposition of penalties?