Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul Council Decision (CFSP) 2020/2033 of 10 December 2020, in so far as the applicant remains at No 1 in the annex to that decision;
- annul Council Implementing Regulation (EU) 2020/2021 of 10 December 2020, in so far as the applicant remains at No 1 in the annex to that regulation;
- order the Council to pay the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

In support of the action, the applicant relies on two pleas in law, which are, in essence, identical or similar to those raised in Case T-103/21, Boshab v Council.

Action brought on 19 February 2021 — Numbi v Council

(Case T-112/21)

(2021/C 128/60)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: John Numbi (Kinshasa, Democratic Republic of the Congo) (represented by: T. Bontinck, P. De Wolf, A. Guillerme and T. Payan, lawyers)

Defendant: Council of the European Union

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul Council Decision (CFSP) 2020/2033 of 10 December 2020, in so far as the applicant remains at No 5 in the annex to that decision;
- annul Council Implementing Regulation (EU) 2020/2021 of 10 December 2020, in so far as the applicant remains at No 5 in the annex to that regulation;
- order the Council to pay the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

In support of the action, the applicant relies on two pleas in law which are, in essence, identical or similar to those raised in Case T-103/21, Boshab v Council.

Action brought on 19 February 2021 — Team Beverage v EUIPO (Beverage Analytics)

(Case T-113/21)

(2021/C 128/61)

Language of the case: German

Parties

Applicant: Team Beverage AG (Bremen, Germany) (represented by: O. Spieker, A. Schönfleisch and N. Willich, lawyers)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

Details of the proceedings before EUIPO

Trade mark at issue: Application for European Union word mark Beverage Analytics — Application for registration No 18 101 437

Contested decision: Decision of the Fifth Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 11 December 2020 in Case R 727/2020-5

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision in so far as it dismisses the appeal brought by the applicant against the defendant's decision of 21 February 2020;
- order EUIPO to pay the costs.

Pleas in law

- Infringement of Article 7(1)(b) and (c) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 of the European Parliament and of the Council;
- Infringement of Article 7(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 of the European Parliament and of the Council.

Action brought on 20 February 2021 — Growth Finance Plus v EUIPO (doglover) (Case T-114/21)

(2021/C 128/62)

Language of the case: German

Parties

Applicant: Growth Finance Plus AG (Gommiswald, Switzerland) (represented by: H. Twelmeier, lawyer)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

Details of the proceedings before EUIPO

Trade mark at issue: Application for European Union word mark doglover — Application for registration No 18 107 487

Contested decision: Decision of the First Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 26 November 2020 in Case R 720/2020-1

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision;
- order EUIPO to pay the costs.