

2. The order of 22 October 2021, *Civitta Eesti v Commission* (T-665/21 R), is revoked.
3. The costs are reserved.

Order of the President of the General Court of 26 January 2022 — ICA Traffic v Commission

(Case T-717/21 R)

(Application for interim relief — Public procurement — Supply of disinfection robots — Application for interim measures — No urgency)

(2022/C 119/69)

Language of the case: German

Parties

Applicant: ICA Traffic GmbH (Dortmund, Germany) (represented by: S. Hertwig and C. Vogt, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: L. Mantl, B. Araujo Arce and M. Ilkova, acting as Agents)

Re:

Application based on Articles 278 and 279 TFEU seeking, first, that the Commission be ordered to not continue with the purchase, announced in its press release of 21 September 2021, of 100 additional disinfection robots on the ground that one of the framework contracts for the supply of a maximum of 200 disinfection robots has ceased to have effect and, second, the grant of any other interim measure necessary to maintain the status quo.

Operative part of the order

1. The application for interim relief is dismissed.
2. The costs are reserved.

Order of the President of the General Court of 24 January 2022 — Společnost pro eHealth databáze v Commission

(Case T-731/21 R)

(Application for interim measures — Grant agreement concluded in the context of the Competitiveness and Innovation Framework Programme (2007-2013) — Recovery of sums paid — Application for suspension of operation — No urgency)

(2022/C 119/70)

Language of the case: Czech

Parties

Applicant: Společnost pro eHealth databáze, a.s. (Prague, Czech Republic) (represented by: P. Konečný, lawyer)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: J. Estrada de Solà, B. Araujo Arce and J. Hradil, acting as Agents)

Re:

Application under Articles 278 and 279 TFEU seeking suspension of the operation of Commission Decision C(2021) 6597 final of 2 September 2021 concerning the recovery from the applicant of a sum of EUR 861 263, together with default interest and an amount for each additional day of delay as from 1 October 2021.

Operative part of the order

1. The application for interim measures is rejected.

2. The costs are reserved.

Action brought on 10 January 2022 — uwe JetStream GmbH/EUIPO (JET STREAM)

(Case T-14/22)

(2022/C 119/71)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: uwe JetStream GmbH (Schwäbisch Gmünd, Germany) (represented by: J. Schneider, lawyer)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

Details of the proceedings before EUIPO

Trade mark at issue: International registration designating the European Union in respect of the word mark 'JET STREAM' — Application for registration No 20 809 111

Contested decision: Decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 9 November 2021 in Case R 1092/2021-4

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- set aside the contested decision, as well as the first instance EUIPO decision of 15 December 2020 and of 29 April 2021;
- allow the extension of the protection of international registration No 0809111 for the purposes of its registration in the European Union;
- order EUIPO to pay the costs.

Plea in law

Infringement of Article 7(1)(b) and (c) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 of the European Parliament and of the Council.

Action brought on 18 January 2022 — Polynt v ECHA

(Case T-29/22)

(2022/C 119/72)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: Polynt SpA (Scanzorosciate, Italy) (represented by: C. Mereu and S. Abdel-Qader, lawyers)

Defendant: European Chemicals Agency

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- declare the application admissible and well-founded;
- annul the Decision of the ECHA Board of Appeal of 9 November 2021 in case A-009-2020;
- declare — or order ECHA to adopt a new decision declaring — that the applicant is released from the obligation to provide any further information to ECHA following the cease of production due to force majeure; and